

ICPSR 20862

Latino National Survey (LNS), 2006

Luis R. Fraga
University of Washington

John A. Garcia
University of Arizona

Rodney Hero
University of Notre Dame

Michael Jones-Correa
Cornell University

Valerie Martinez-Ebers
Texas Christian University

Gary M. Segura
University of Washington

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/cocon/ICPSR/TERMS/20862.xml>

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR # 20862
Latino National Survey, 2006

1) Sequential record identifier: ICPSR created a unique sequential record identifier variable named **CASEID** for use with online analysis.

2) Missing Values: The "(M)" to the right of the value indicates the code has been designated as a missing value. In this dataset, most of the values with this designation are system missing represented by a period.

3) Character/String Variables: Summary statistics are not provided for variables of string/character format. The following variables are string/character format:

RESPID
RSTATE
GEOG
FIPS
SAMPLE
WHYUS2
SPORACE2
OTHE13
WHYCITZ2
WHTUSCI2
NOONATUR2
WHYINVOT2
USOCCUP
FOROCCUP
CADESTIN1
CADESTIN2
CADESTIN3
CADESTIN4
CADESTIN5
CADESTIN6
CADESTIN7
CADESTIN8
CADESTIN9
CADESTIN10
CAWHYMV2
IMMGSTAT
NATPROB2
LATPROB2
DOI

Data Completeness Report

Notes: (1) Variables are individually listed only if they have greater than 5% missing data. These variables are listed under the appropriate percentage category in the order in which they appear in the data file. (2) The Data Completeness Report only captures information about system missing or other values that are declared missing. Codes that have a label implying that they are missing but that are not declared missing values are not reflected in this report. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about missing values. (3) Some variables that have 100% missing data may have been blanked by ICPSR to protect respondent confidentiality. Data users should consult the codebook for more specific information about blanked variables. (4) Data do not contain skip patterns or skip patterns are not reflected in the data as coded.

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 8634)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
61.1% (168 of 275 variables)	have 0% Missing Values
0.4% (1 of 275 variables)	have 0% - 1% Missing Values
0.0% (0 of 275 variables)	have 1% - 3% Missing Values
0.7% (2 of 275 variables)	have 3% - 5% Missing Values
0.7% (2 of 275 variables)	have 5% - 10% Missing Values
AGE	Respondent Age 5.7%
AQI2	Secondary Age Variable 5.7%
0.0% (0 of 275 variables)	have 10% - 20% Missing Values
6.5% (18 of 275 variables)	have 20% - 40% Missing Values
BIRTHPLC	B6. Where were you born? 33.8%
WHYUS	B8. What would you say is the main reason you came to live in the United States? (READ LIST IF NECESSARY) 28.4%
ARRIVEUS	B9. When did you first arrive to live in the US [mainland]? (RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR, REFUSED = 9999) 28.4%
ARRIVEUS2	B9. When did you first arrive to live in the US [mainland]? (RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR, REFUSED = 9999) 28.4%
NATUSCIT	B10. Are you a naturalized American citizen? 34.5%
ENGPROF	C2. How good is your spoken English? Would you say you could carry on a conversation in English (both understanding and speaking) very well, pretty well, just a little, or not at all? 38.1%
SPORACE	D8. What is your ANSWERFROM(AQD7) race and ethnicity? 35.3%
HIGHEDUC	D13. Where did you complete your highest level of education? US or elsewhere? 28.4%
NONVPRES	I5b. Whom did you favor or prefer for president in this past election? 37.5%
IMMOTHSTAT	K19. Have you lived in another state in the US previously? 28.4%
TRETURN	M2. Since coming to the US, how often have you returned to ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? 28.4%
TRLIVE	M3. Have you ever returned to live (rather than just visit) there for a portion of time? 28.4%
TREMAIN	M5. How long do you think you will remain in the US? 28.4%
TROWNLD1	M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? 28.4%

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 8634)		Percent of Cases with Missing Values
SCHLRATE	E8. When you have had contact with school officials, would you say your experience has been very good, somewhat good, not too good, or not good at all?	67.4%
SPANPROG	E9. Was there a specialized program for teaching English to Spanish-speaking children in your child's school?	79.4%
PARTPROG	E10. Was your child ever in that program?	83.8%
EXPECT	E11. How far do you think your child will actually go in school?	67.4%
RACE2	E13. What is your race? Are you White, Black, American Indian, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race or more than one? (PROBE IF RESPONDENT SAYS ANOTHER RACE, RECORD ALL THAT APPLY)	98.5%
RACE3	E13. What is your race? Are you White, Black, American Indian, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race or more than one? (PROBE IF RESPONDENT SAYS ANOTHER RACE, RECORD ALL THAT APPLY)	100.0%
RACE4	E13. What is your race? Are you White, Black, American Indian, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race or more than one? (PROBE IF RESPONDENT SAYS ANOTHER RACE, RECORD ALL THAT APPLY)	100.0%
CITZSTAT	F1. Now we would like to ask you about U.S. Citizenship. Are you currently applying for citizenship, planning to apply to citizenship or not planning on becoming a citizen.	56.2%
WHYCITZ	F2. What would you say is the main reason you chose to become a US citizen?	77.0%
YRCITZ	In what year did you become a US citizen?(RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR, CAN'T RECALL = 9999)	77.0%
YRCITZ2	In what year did you become a US citizen?(RECORD 4-DIGIT YEAR, CAN'T RECALL = 9999)	77.0%
WHYUSCIT	F4. What would you say is the main reason for becoming a citizen of the United States?	57.5%
NONATUR	F5. What would you say is the main reason you have not naturalized?	57.5%
ASIACOMM	G1.C Thinking about issues like job opportunities, educational attainment or income, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) have in common with other racial groups in the United State today? Would you say ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) have a lot in common, some in common, ...	60.4%
ASPOLCOM	G2.C Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) have in common with OTHER RA...	60.4%
REGVOTE	I1. Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?	43.8%
AGEREGVT	I2. About how old were you when you first registered in the US? (RECORD EXACT AGE, DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE, REFUSED = 99)	54.0%

Table 1: Distribution of Variables by Percentage of Missing Values

Variable Name and Label (Total Cases = 8634)	Percent of Cases with Missing Values
AZSERVICE	L28. Do you support limitations on undocumented immigrants' access to our state social service programs [for example adult education classes, in-state tuition and subsidized child care?] 95.4%
AZENGNON	L29. A proposed constitutional amendment to make English the official language for our State will be on the ballot in 2006. Is this something you ... (READ LIST) 95.4%
MSMINUTE	L30. Recently, several civilian groups opposed to the number of undocumented immigrants coming to the US, have sent people to patrol the border. Which one of the following comes closest to your views? Do you think these actions... 62.7%
TROWNLD2	M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? 96.7%
TROWNLD3	M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? 99.6%
TRMONAMT	M10. What is the average amount you send each time? (RECORD EXACT DOLLAR AMOUNT OR BEST ESTIMATE) (DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE, REFUSED = 99999) 49.1%
TRVOTEIUS	M15. Did you cast your vote from the US, or from ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? 96.8%
WHYDISC	N3. There are lots of possible reasons why people might be treated unfairly, what do you think was the main reason for your experience(s)? Would you say ... (READ LIST) 66.8%
RACEDISC	N4. In the most recent incident you experienced what was the race or ethnicity of the person(s) treating you unfairly? 66.8%
FLDIPLO	N4b. Do you favor or oppose the U.S. re-establishing diplomatic relations with Cuba? 95.1%
FLRETURN	N4c. What if Cuba changed to a democratic form of government. How likely is it that you would return to Cuba to live - would you say very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely? 95.1%
FLIMIT	N4d. What do you think about recent federal policy changes that limit travel and remittances to family members in Cuba? 95.1%
0.7% (2 of 275 variables) have 100% missing values	
RACE5	E13. What is your race? Are you White, Black, American Indian, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race or more than one? (PROBE IF RESPONDENT SAYS ANOTHER RACE, RECORD ALL THAT APPLY) 100.0%
RACE6	E13. What is your race? Are you White, Black, American Indian, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, some other race or more than one? (PROBE IF RESPONDENT SAYS ANOTHER RACE, RECORD ALL THAT APPLY) 100.0%

Codebook for ICPSR 20862

Latino National Survey, 2006,

Dataset 1:

CASEID**Sequential Record Identifier (Added by ICPSR)**

Location: 1-5 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: Sequential Record Identifier (Added by ICPSR)

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	8634.00	4317.50	-	2492.57

RESPID**Respondent ID**

Location: 6-10 (width: 5; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: Respondent ID

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RSTATE**Respondent State (Alpha)**

Location: 11-12 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: Respondent State (Text)

Value	Frequency	%	Valid %
AR	401	4.6 %	4.6%
AZ	400	4.6 %	4.6%
CA	1204	13.9 %	13.9%
CO	404	4.7 %	4.7%
DC	62	0.7 %	0.7%
FL	800	9.3 %	9.3%
GA	400	4.6 %	4.6%
IA	400	4.6 %	4.6%
IL	600	6.9 %	6.9%
MD	166	1.9 %	1.9%
NC	401	4.6 %	4.6%
NJ	403	4.7 %	4.7%
NM	400	4.6 %	4.6%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
CO	COLORADO	404	4.7 %	4.7%
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	62	0.7 %	0.7%
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	800	9.3 %	9.3%
GA	GEORGIA	400	4.6 %	4.6%
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	400	4.6 %	4.6%
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	600	6.9 %	6.9%
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	166	1.9 %	1.9%
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	401	4.6 %	4.6%
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	403	4.7 %	4.7%
NM	NEW MEXICO	400	4.6 %	4.6%
NV	NEVADA	403	4.7 %	4.7%
NY	NEW YORK	800	9.3 %	9.3%
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	811	9.4 %	9.4%
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	176	2.0 %	2.0%

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
19	Uruguay	7	0.1 %	0.1%
20	Venezuela	27	0.3 %	0.3%
21	Don't Know	30	0.3 %	0.3%
22	Refused	18	0.2 %	0.2%
23	(DO NOT READ) U.S.A.	35	0.4 %	0.4%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	23.00	11.75	12.00	3.12

ANCESTRY2

B4. Families of ANSWERFROM(AQS4) origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage? (IF MORE THAN ONE RESPONSE GIVEN READ) Which country does most of your ...

Location: 82-83 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: B4. Families of ANSWERFROM(AQS4) origin or background in the United States come from many different countries. From which country do you trace your Latino heritage? (IF MORE THAN ONE RESPONSE GIVEN READ) Which country does most of your family come from?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Argentina	28	0.3 %	0.3%
2	Bolivia	36	0.4 %	0.4%
3	Chile	17	0.2 %	0.2%
4	Colombia	139	1.6 %	1.6%
5	Costa Rica	32	0.4 %	0.4%
6	Cuba	420	4.9 %	4.9%
7	Dominican Republic	335	3.9 %	3.9%
8	Ecuador	103	1.2 %	1.2%
9	El Salvador	407	4.7 %	4.7%
10	Guatemala	149	1.7 %	1.7%
11	Honduras	87	1.0 %	1.0%
12	Mexico	5704	66.1 %	66.1%
13	Nicaragua	51	0.6 %	0.6%
14	Panama	14	0.2 %	0.2%
15	Paraguay	3	0.0 %	0.0%
16	Peru	65	0.8 %	0.8%
17	Puerto Rico	822	9.5 %	9.5%
18	Spain	105	1.2 %	1.2%
19	Uruguay	7	0.1 %	0.1%
20	Venezuela	27	0.3 %	0.3%
21	another country	30	0.3 %	0.3%
22	another country	18	0.2 %	0.2%
23	Mexico	35	0.4 %	0.4%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5	Puerto Rican	822	9.5 %	9.5%
6	Salvadoran	407	4.7 %	4.7%
7	Central American	333	3.9 %	3.9%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	7.00	2.72	2.00	1.53

BORNUS

B5. Were you born in the mainland United States, Puerto Rico or some other country?

Location: 89-89 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: B5. Were you born in the mainland United States, Puerto Rico or some other country?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Mainland US	2450	28.4 %	28.4%
2	Puerto Rico	467	5.4 %	5.4%
3	Some other country	5717	66.2 %	66.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.38	3.00	0.90

BIRTHPLC

B6. Where were you born?

Location: 90-91 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: B6. Where were you born?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Argentina	30	0.3 %	0.5%
2	Bolivia	38	0.4 %	0.7%
3	Chile	18	0.2 %	0.3%
4	Colombia	129	1.5 %	2.3%
5	Costa Rica	29	0.3 %	0.5%
6	Cuba	341	3.9 %	6.0%
7	Dominican Republic	298	3.5 %	5.2%
8	Ecuador	94	1.1 %	1.6%
9	El Salvador	386	4.5 %	6.8%
10	Guatemala	146	1.7 %	2.6%
11	Honduras	89	1.0 %	1.6%
12	Mexico	3879	44.9 %	67.9%
13	Nicaragua	49	0.6 %	0.9%
14	Panama	9	0.1 %	0.2%
15	Paraguay	4	0.0 %	0.1%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
26	Sonora	110	1.3 %	2.8%
27	Tabasco	13	0.2 %	0.3%
28	Tamaulipas	95	1.1 %	2.4%
29	Tlaxcala	5	0.1 %	0.1%
30	Veracruz	119	1.4 %	3.1%
31	Yucatan	4	0.0 %	0.1%
32	Zacatecas	169	2.0 %	4.4%
33	Don't Know	54	0.6 %	1.4%
34	Refused	72	0.8 %	1.9%
. (M)	-	4755	55.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3879	4755	1.00	34.00	15.93	14.00	7.94

WHYUS B8. What would you say is the main reason you came to live in the United States? (READ LIST IF NECESSARY)

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: B8. What would you say is the main reason you came to live in the United States? (READ LIST IF NECESSARY)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Education	391	4.5 %	6.3%
2	Family reunification	642	7.4 %	10.4%
3	Escape political turmoil	380	4.4 %	6.1%
4	My parents brought me as a child	880	10.2 %	14.2%
5	Improve economic situation	3399	39.4 %	55.0%
6	Other (SPECIFY)	492	5.7 %	8.0%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	6.00	4.25	5.00	1.36

WHYUS2 ENTER OTHER FOR QB8 HERE

Location: 95-194 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: ENTER OTHER FOR QB8 HERE

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1968	67	0.8 %	1.1%
1969	45	0.5 %	0.7%
1970	108	1.3 %	1.7%
1971	48	0.6 %	0.8%
1972	74	0.9 %	1.2%
1973	58	0.7 %	0.9%
1974	51	0.6 %	0.8%
1975	61	0.7 %	1.0%
1976	58	0.7 %	0.9%
1977	60	0.7 %	1.0%
1978	90	1.0 %	1.5%
1979	105	1.2 %	1.7%
1980	191	2.2 %	3.1%
1981	93	1.1 %	1.5%
1982	99	1.1 %	1.6%
1983	69	0.8 %	1.1%
1984	103	1.2 %	1.7%
1985	193	2.2 %	3.1%
1986	168	1.9 %	2.7%
1987	112	1.3 %	1.8%
1988	179	2.1 %	2.9%
1989	184	2.1 %	3.0%
1990	263	3.0 %	4.3%
1991	111	1.3 %	1.8%
1992	165	1.9 %	2.7%
1993	147	1.7 %	2.4%
1994	162	1.9 %	2.6%
1995	196	2.3 %	3.2%
1996	213	2.5 %	3.4%
1997	142	1.6 %	2.3%
1998	201	2.3 %	3.3%
1999	234	2.7 %	3.8%
2000	361	4.2 %	5.8%
2001	202	2.3 %	3.3%
2002	152	1.8 %	2.5%
2003	128	1.5 %	2.1%
2004	129	1.5 %	2.1%
2005	117	1.4 %	1.9%
9999	434	5.0 %	7.0%
. (M)	2450	28.4 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4	Very well	1913	22.2 %	58.1%
5	Other	3	0.0 %	0.1%
6	DK	4	0.0 %	0.1%
7	NA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
. (M)	-	5343	61.9 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3291	5343	1.00	7.00	3.33	4.00	0.92

KEEPSPAN

C4. How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain the ability to speak Spanish?

Location: 208-208 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: C4. How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain the ability to speak Spanish?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all important	93	1.1 %	1.1%
2	Not very important	178	2.1 %	2.1%
3	Somewhat important	1022	11.8 %	11.8%
4	Very Important	7341	85.0 %	85.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	3.81	4.00	0.51

LEARNENG

C5. How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn English?

Location: 209-209 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: C5. How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn English?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all important	34	0.4 %	0.4%
2	Not very important	74	0.9 %	0.9%
3	Somewhat important	540	6.3 %	6.3%
4	Very Important	7986	92.5 %	92.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	3.91	4.00	0.35

COMPARP

D1. Do you participate in the activities of one social, cultural, civic or political group, more than one such group, or do you not participate in the activities of any such groups?

Location: 210-210 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	Mix of all	364	4.2 %	21.6%
1	Mostly Latino	464	5.4 %	27.5%
2	Mixed Latino/White	489	5.7 %	29.0%
3	Mostly Black	8	0.1 %	0.5%
4	Mixed Latino/Black	60	0.7 %	3.6%
5	Mostly Asian	1	0.0 %	0.1%
6	Mixed Latino/Asian	10	0.1 %	0.6%
7	(DO NOT READ) Other	51	0.6 %	3.0%
8	DK/NA	15	0.2 %	0.9%
9	Mostly White	225	2.6 %	13.3%
. (M)	-	6947	80.5 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1687	6947	0.00	9.00	2.53	2.00	2.95

WORKPROB

D3. When an issue or problem needs to be addressed, would you work through existing groups or organizations to bring people together, would you get together informally, or would you do nothing to deal with this matter?

Location: 213-213 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: D3. When an issue or problem needs to be addressed, would you work through existing groups or organizations to bring people together, would you get together informally, or would you do nothing to deal with this matter?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Use existing organizations	2419	28.0 %	28.0%
2	Get together informally	2613	30.3 %	30.3%
3	Both	802	9.3 %	9.3%
4	Do nothing	1630	18.9 %	18.9%
5	Don't know	1025	11.9 %	11.9%
6	Refused	145	1.7 %	1.7%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	2.61	2.00	1.45

CONTOFF

D4. Have you ever tried to get government officials to pay attention to something that concerned you, either by calling, writing a letter, or going to a meeting?

Location: 214-214 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: D4. Have you ever tried to get government officials to pay attention to something that concerned you, either by calling, writing a letter, or going to a meeting?

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Single	2163	25.1 %	25.1%
2	Not married but living together	485	5.6 %	5.6%
3	Married but not living together	358	4.1 %	4.1%
4	Married	4744	54.9 %	54.9%
5	Divorced	577	6.7 %	6.7%
6	Widowed	307	3.6 %	3.6%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.23	4.00	1.46

SPORACE

D8. What is your ANSWERFROM(AQD7) race and ethnicity?

Location: 218-218 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Question: D8. What is your ANSWERFROM(AQD7) race and ethnicity?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	LATINO	4427	51.3 %	79.2%
2	BLACK	45	0.5 %	0.8%
3	WHITE	490	5.7 %	8.8%
4	AMERICAN INDIAN, ALASKAN NATIVE OR INDIGENOUS	39	0.5 %	0.7%
5	ASIAN OR PACIFIC ISLANDER	21	0.2 %	0.4%
6	OTHER, (PLEASE SPECIFY)	526	6.1 %	9.4%
7	DK	20	0.2 %	0.4%
8	REFUSED	19	0.2 %	0.3%
.(M)	-	3047	35.3 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
5587	3047	1.00	8.00	1.74	1.00	1.61

SPORACE2

ENTER OTHER FOR QD8 HERE

Location: 219-318 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: character (ISO)
Interval: discrete
Question: ENTER OTHER FOR QD8 HERE

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

NATORIGN

What is their national origin?

Location: 319-320 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1966	4	0.0 %	0.2%
1967	7	0.1 %	0.4%
1968	6	0.1 %	0.3%
1969	5	0.1 %	0.3%
1970	23	0.3 %	1.2%
1971	6	0.1 %	0.3%
1972	15	0.2 %	0.8%
1973	11	0.1 %	0.6%
1974	9	0.1 %	0.5%
1975	16	0.2 %	0.8%
1976	17	0.2 %	0.9%
1977	7	0.1 %	0.4%
1978	15	0.2 %	0.8%
1979	10	0.1 %	0.5%
1980	34	0.4 %	1.7%
1981	5	0.1 %	0.3%
1982	18	0.2 %	0.9%
1983	15	0.2 %	0.8%
1984	19	0.2 %	1.0%
1985	35	0.4 %	1.8%
1986	43	0.5 %	2.2%
1987	24	0.3 %	1.2%
1988	20	0.2 %	1.0%
1989	20	0.2 %	1.0%
1990	59	0.7 %	3.0%
1991	16	0.2 %	0.8%
1992	35	0.4 %	1.8%
1993	31	0.4 %	1.6%
1994	40	0.5 %	2.0%
1995	77	0.9 %	3.9%
1996	97	1.1 %	4.9%
1997	64	0.7 %	3.2%
1998	84	1.0 %	4.2%
1999	85	1.0 %	4.3%
2000	129	1.5 %	6.5%
2001	89	1.0 %	4.5%
2002	61	0.7 %	3.1%
2003	62	0.7 %	3.1%
2004	68	0.8 %	3.4%
2005	113	1.3 %	5.7%
9999	449	5.2 %	22.6%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5	DK/NA	503	5.8 %	14.7%
. (M)	-	5219	60.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3415	5219	1.00	5.00	2.57	2.00	1.38

AAPOLCOM

G2.A Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) have in common with OTHER RA...

Location: 769-769 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

G2.A Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S4) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do (Answer to S4) have in common with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? Would you say (Answer to S4) have a lot in common, some in common, little in common, or nothing at all in common with...

AFRICAN-AMERICANS?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	1155	13.4 %	13.4%
2	Little	2199	25.5 %	25.5%
3	Some	2710	31.4 %	31.4%
4	A lot	1518	17.6 %	17.6%
5	DK/NA	1052	12.2 %	12.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.90	3.00	1.20

WHPOLCOM

G2.B Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) have in common with OTHER RA...

Location: 770-770 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

G2.B Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S4) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do (Answer to S4) have in common with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? Would you say (Answer to S4) have a lot in common, some in common, little in common, or nothing at all in common with...

- Study 20862 -

WHITES?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	1352	15.7 %	15.7%
2	Little	2555	29.6 %	29.6%
3	Some	2456	28.4 %	28.4%
4	A lot	1309	15.2 %	15.2%
5	DK/NA	962	11.1 %	11.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.77	3.00	1.21

ASPOLCOM

G2.C Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) have in common with OTHER RA...

Location: 771-771 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: (ASK only in CA, TX, NY, IL)

G2.C Now I'd like you to think about the political situation of (Answer to S4) in society. Thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do (Answer to S4) have in common with OTHER RACIAL GROUPS IN THE UNITED STATES TODAY? Would you say (Answer to S4) have a lot in common, some in common, little in common, or nothing at all in common with...

ASIAN-AMERICANS?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	930	10.8 %	27.2%
2	Little	899	10.4 %	26.3%
3	Some	747	8.7 %	21.9%
4	A lot	311	3.6 %	9.1%
5	DK/NA	528	6.1 %	15.5%
. (M)	-	5219	60.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3415	5219	1.00	5.00	2.59	2.00	1.38

AAFATE

G3. How much does ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) 'doing well' depend on African-Americans also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Location: 772-772 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

- Study 20862 -

Interval: discrete

Question: G3. How much does ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) 'doing well' depend on African-Americans also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Nothing	1572	18.2 %	18.2%
2	Little	1607	18.6 %	18.6%
3	Some	2359	27.3 %	27.3%
4	A lot	3096	35.9 %	35.9%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.81	3.00	1.11

AAJOBCO

G4.A Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? ...

Location: 773-773 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: G4.A Some have suggested that (Answer to S4) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? How about...

In getting jobs?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	No competition at all	4523	52.4 %	52.4%
2	Weak competition	1815	21.0 %	21.0%
3	Strong competition	2296	26.6 %	26.6%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.74	1.00	0.85

AAEDUCO

G4.B Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? ...

Location: 774-774 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: G4.B Some have suggested that (Answer to S4) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? How about... Having access to education and quality schools?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	No competition at all	4046	46.9 %	46.9%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	Weak competition	2099	24.3 %	24.3%
3	Strong competition	2489	28.8 %	28.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.82	2.00	0.85

AAGOVC0

G4.C Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? ...

Location: 775-775 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

G4.C Some have suggested that (Answer to S4) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? How about...

Getting jobs with the city or state government?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No competition at all	3505	40.6 %	40.6%
2	Weak competition	2227	25.8 %	25.8%
3	Strong competition	2902	33.6 %	33.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.93	2.00	0.86

PUBOFF

G4.D Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? ...

Location: 776-776 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

G4.D Some have suggested that (Answer to S4) are in competition with African-Americans. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with African-Americans? How about...

Having (Answer to S4) representatives in elected office?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No competition at all	3013	34.9 %	34.9%
2	Weak competition	2549	29.5 %	29.5%
3	Strong competition	3072	35.6 %	35.6%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.01	2.00	0.84

BLEND

G5.A How important is it for ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) to ... change so that they blend into the larger American society? Is this very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

Location: 777-777 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: G5.A How important is it for ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) to ... change so that they blend into the larger American society? Is this very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all important	950	11.0 %	11.0%
2	Somewhat important	2396	27.8 %	27.8%
3	Very important	4916	56.9 %	56.9%
4	Don't know	309	3.6 %	3.6%
5	Refused	63	0.7 %	0.7%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.55	3.00	0.76

DISTINCT

G5.B How important is it for ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) to ... maintain their distinct cultures? Is this very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

Location: 778-778 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: G5.B How important is it for ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) to ... maintain their distinct cultures? Is this very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all important	269	3.1 %	3.1%
2	Somewhat important	1478	17.1 %	17.1%
3	Very important	6679	77.4 %	77.4%
4	Don't know	174	2.0 %	2.0%
5	Refused	34	0.4 %	0.4%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.79	3.00	0.54

FRIENDS

G6. How would you describe your friends? Are they ... (READ LIST)

Location: 779-779 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: G6. How would you describe your friends? Are they ... (READ LIST)

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	(DO NOT READ) Mix of all of the above 9 DK/NA	2513	29.1 %	29.1%
1	Mostly ANSWERFROM(AQS4)	2972	34.4 %	34.4%
2	Mostly White	527	6.1 %	6.1%
3	Mixed ANSWERFROM(AQS4) / White	2037	23.6 %	23.6%
4	Mostly Black	43	0.5 %	0.5%
5	Mixed ANSWERFROM(AQS4) / Black	319	3.7 %	3.7%
6	Mostly Asian (For respondents in CA, TX, NY, IL)	0	0.0 %	-
7	Mixed Latino/Asian (For respondents in CA, TX, NY, IL)	20	0.2 %	0.2%
8	(DO NOT READ) Other	75	0.9 %	0.9%
9	DK/NA (For respondents in CA, TX, NY, IL)	128	1.5 %	1.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	0.00	9.00	1.60	1.00	1.74

COWORKER

G7. How would you describe your co-workers? Are they ... (READ LIST)

Location: 780-780 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: G7. How would you describe your co-workers? Are they ... (READ LIST)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	(DO NOT READ) Mix of all of the above 9 DK/NA	1963	22.7 %	22.7%
1	Mostly ANSWERFROM(AQS4)	2204	25.5 %	25.5%
2	Mostly White	1175	13.6 %	13.6%
3	Mixed ANSWERFROM(AQS4) / White	1558	18.0 %	18.0%
4	Mostly Black	98	1.1 %	1.1%
5	Mixed ANSWERFROM(AQS4) / Black	232	2.7 %	2.7%
6	Mostly Asian (For respondents in CA, TX, NY, IL)	17	0.2 %	0.2%
7	Mixed Latino/Asian (For respondents in CA, TX, NY, IL)	34	0.4 %	0.4%
8	(DO NOT READ) Other	206	2.4 %	2.4%
9	DK/NA (For respondents in CA, TX, NY, IL)	1147	13.3 %	13.3%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	0.00	9.00	2.67	2.00	2.95

EQUALPAY

H1.A People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have N...

Location: 781-781 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question:

H1.A People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have No Opinion about the following statements:

Men and women should get equal pay when they are in the same jobs

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Disagree	135	1.6 %	1.6%
2	Somewhat Disagree	153	1.8 %	1.8%
3	No Opinion	219	2.5 %	2.5%
4	Somewhat Agree	758	8.8 %	8.8%
5	Strongly Agree	7289	84.4 %	84.4%
9	NA	80	0.9 %	0.9%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	9.00	4.78	5.00	0.84

CAREKIDS

H1.B People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have N...

Location:

782-782 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

H1.B People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have No Opinion about the following statements:

Mothers should be more responsible for caring for their children than Fathers.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Disagree	3255	37.7 %	37.7%
2	Somewhat Disagree	1554	18.0 %	18.0%
3	No Opinion	287	3.3 %	3.3%
4	Somewhat Agree	1182	13.7 %	13.7%
5	Strongly Agree	2285	26.5 %	26.5%
9	NA	71	0.8 %	0.8%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	9.00	2.78	2.00	1.77

- Study 20862 -

CONTRAPC

H1.C People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have N...

Location: 783-783 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

H1.C People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have No Opinion about the following statements:

Women should have easy access to birth control/contraception.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Disagree	429	5.0 %	5.0%
2	Somewhat Disagree	374	4.3 %	4.3%
3	No Opinion	859	9.9 %	9.9%
4	Somewhat Agree	1566	18.1 %	18.1%
5	Strongly Agree	5153	59.7 %	59.7%
9	NA	253	2.9 %	2.9%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	9.00	4.41	5.00	1.37

LEADERS

H1.D People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have N...

Location: 784-784 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

H1.D People frequently have different opinions about the status of women in society including the nature of relationships between men and women. Please tell me if you Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Somewhat disagree, Disagree Strongly or do you have No Opinion about the following statements:

Men are better qualified to be political leaders than women.

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Disagree	3876	44.9 %	44.9%
2	Somewhat Disagree	1634	18.9 %	18.9%
3	No Opinion	626	7.3 %	7.3%
4	Somewhat Agree	1075	12.5 %	12.5%
5	Strongly Agree	1232	14.3 %	14.3%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
9	NA	191	2.2 %	2.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	9.00	2.46	2.00	1.78

REGVOTE

I1. Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?

Location: 785-785 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I1. Are you currently registered to vote in the U.S.?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	3927	45.5 %	80.9%
2	No	882	10.2 %	18.2%
3	Don't know	28	0.3 %	0.6%
4	NA	19	0.2 %	0.4%
. (M)	-	3778	43.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4856	3778	1.00	4.00	1.20	1.00	0.44

AGEREGVT

I2. About how old were you when you first registered in the US? (RECORD EXACT AGE, DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE, REFUSED = 99)

Location: 786-787 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I2. About how old were you when you first registered in the US? (RECORD EXACT AGE, DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE, REFUSED = 99)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
18	1536	17.8 %	38.7%
19	132	1.5 %	3.3%
20	172	2.0 %	4.3%
21	338	3.9 %	8.5%
22	66	0.8 %	1.7%
23	53	0.6 %	1.3%
24	55	0.6 %	1.4%
25	121	1.4 %	3.0%
26	35	0.4 %	0.9%
27	34	0.4 %	0.9%
28	44	0.5 %	1.1%
29	23	0.3 %	0.6%
30	107	1.2 %	2.7%
31	13	0.2 %	0.3%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
32	37	0.4 %	0.9%
33	29	0.3 %	0.7%
34	36	0.4 %	0.9%
35	69	0.8 %	1.7%
36	30	0.3 %	0.8%
37	16	0.2 %	0.4%
38	33	0.4 %	0.8%
39	25	0.3 %	0.6%
40	88	1.0 %	2.2%
41	8	0.1 %	0.2%
42	30	0.3 %	0.8%
43	15	0.2 %	0.4%
44	8	0.1 %	0.2%
45	41	0.5 %	1.0%
46	11	0.1 %	0.3%
47	12	0.1 %	0.3%
48	20	0.2 %	0.5%
49	11	0.1 %	0.3%
50	31	0.4 %	0.8%
51	11	0.1 %	0.3%
52	10	0.1 %	0.3%
53	12	0.1 %	0.3%
54	6	0.1 %	0.2%
55	22	0.3 %	0.6%
56	6	0.1 %	0.2%
57	2	0.0 %	0.1%
58	8	0.1 %	0.2%
59	5	0.1 %	0.1%
60	34	0.4 %	0.9%
61	6	0.1 %	0.2%
62	6	0.1 %	0.2%
63	7	0.1 %	0.2%
64	7	0.1 %	0.2%
65	10	0.1 %	0.3%
66	1	0.0 %	0.0%
67	2	0.0 %	0.1%
68	3	0.0 %	0.1%
69	1	0.0 %	0.0%
70	5	0.1 %	0.1%
72	2	0.0 %	0.1%
73	1	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
75	2	0.0 %	0.1%
77	1	0.0 %	0.0%
80	1	0.0 %	0.0%
99	524	6.1 %	13.2%
. (M)	4660	54.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3974	4660	18.00	99.00	34.93	21.00	27.06

VOTE04

17. In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you --did you vote in the presidential election last November?

Location: 788-788 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: 17. In talking to people about elections, we often find that a lot of people were not able to vote because they weren't registered, they were sick, or they just didn't have time. How about you-did you vote in the presidential election last November?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	3236	37.5 %	66.6%
2	No	1565	18.1 %	32.2%
3	DK/Ref	55	0.6 %	1.1%
. (M)	-	3778	43.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4856	3778	1.00	3.00	1.34	1.00	0.50

CONTACT04

13. In the 2004 elections, were you ever contacted to vote for or contribute money to a candidate or political campaign?

Location: 789-789 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: 13. In the 2004 elections, were you ever contacted to vote for or contribute money to a candidate or political campaign?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	1710	19.8 %	35.2%
2	No	3017	34.9 %	62.1%
3	Don't know	129	1.5 %	2.7%
. (M)	-	3778	43.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4856	3778	1.00	3.00	1.67	2.00	0.52

VOTEPRES

15a. Who did you vote for president in this past election?

- Study 20862 -

Location: 790-790 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I5a. Who did you vote for president in this past election?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	George W. Bush	1159	13.4 %	35.8%
2	John Kerry	1459	16.9 %	45.1%
3	Ralph Nader	24	0.3 %	0.7%
4	Other	135	1.6 %	4.2%
8	DK	459	5.3 %	14.2%
. (M)	-	5398	62.5 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3236	5398	1.00	8.00	2.58	2.00	2.30

NONVPRES I5b. Whom did you favor or prefer for president in this past election?

Location: 791-791 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I5b. Whom did you favor or prefer for president in this past election?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	George W. Bush	1218	14.1 %	22.6%
2	John Kerry	1677	19.4 %	31.1%
3	Ralph Nader	50	0.6 %	0.9%
4	Other	413	4.8 %	7.7%
8	DK	2040	23.6 %	37.8%
. (M)	-	3236	37.5 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
5398	3236	1.00	8.00	4.20	2.00	3.05

PARTCONT I6. If a party or candidate was trying to contact you about an election, which of the following would you pay the most attention to?

Location: 792-792 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I6. If a party or candidate was trying to contact you about an election, which of the following would you pay the most attention to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Mail or door flier	2351	27.2 %	27.2%
2	Automated telephone call	175	2.0 %	2.0%
3	Telephone call by a real person	1306	15.1 %	15.1%
4	E-mail	501	5.8 %	5.8%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5	In-person visit	3040	35.2 %	35.2%
6	DK/RF	1261	14.6 %	14.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.64	4.00	1.87

WHYINVOTE

I8. People were not able to vote because of a variety of reasons. How about you? What was the main reason for not voting in this past November election?

Location: 793-794 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I8. People were not able to vote because of a variety of reasons. How about you? What was the main reason for not voting in this past November election?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Did not have time/ too busy	161	1.9 %	22.0%
2	Not interested in the election/politics	61	0.7 %	8.3%
3	Family emergency	18	0.2 %	2.5%
4	Did not like candidates	53	0.6 %	7.2%
5	Out of town	58	0.7 %	7.9%
6	Forgot about the election	18	0.2 %	2.5%
7	No transportation	11	0.1 %	1.5%
8	Lines too long at the polls	0	0.0 %	-
9	Tried to vote but was told that I was not eligible	24	0.3 %	3.3%
10	The election appeared to be over by the time that I was ready to vote	8	0.1 %	1.1%
11	My vote would not matter, I thought	18	0.2 %	2.5%
12	Sick/ill	45	0.5 %	6.1%
13	Too complicated	13	0.2 %	1.8%
14	Other (SPECIFY)	180	2.1 %	24.6%
15	DK/RF	64	0.7 %	8.7%
. (M)	-	7902	91.5 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
732	7902	1.00	15.00	7.80	6.00	5.59

WHYINVOT2

ENTER OTHER FOR QI8 HERE

Location: 795-894 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: ENTER OTHER FOR QI8 HERE

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

- Study 20862 -

PARTISAN **I9. Are you registered as ... (READ LIST)**

Location: 895-895 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I9. Are you registered as ... (READ LIST)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Democrat	1954	22.6 %	49.8%
2	Republican	711	8.2 %	18.1%
3	Independent	442	5.1 %	11.3%
4	Some other party	85	1.0 %	2.2%
5	No state requirement	242	2.8 %	6.2%
6	DK	493	5.7 %	12.6%
. (M)	-	4707	54.5 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
3927	4707	1.00	6.00	2.35	2.00	1.77

KNOWREG **I10. Do you know how and where to register to vote?**

Location: 896-896 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: I10. Do you know how and where to register to vote?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Yes	510	5.9 %	54.9%
2	No	361	4.2 %	38.9%
3	Not sure	58	0.7 %	6.2%
. (M)	-	7705	89.2 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
929	7705	1.00	3.00	1.51	1.00	0.61

PARTYID **J1. Generally speaking, do you usually consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, some other party, or what?**

Location: 897-897 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J1. Generally speaking, do you usually consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, some other party, or what?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Democrat	3085	35.7 %	35.7%
2	Republican	970	11.2 %	11.2%
3	Independent	1435	16.6 %	16.6%

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
4	Don't care	1410	16.3 %	16.3%
5	Don't know/other party	1734	20.1 %	20.1%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.74	3.00	1.56

STRDPARTN J2. Would you consider yourself a strong ANSWERFROM(QJ1), or a not very strong ANSWERFROM(QJ1)?

Location: 898-898 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J2. Would you consider yourself a strong ANSWERFROM(QJ1), or a not very strong ANSWERFROM(QJ1)?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strong ANSWERFROM(QJ1)	2108	24.4 %	52.0%
2	Not very strong ANSWERFROM(QJ1)	1947	22.6 %	48.0%
. (M)	-	4579	53.0 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
4055	4579	1.00	2.00	1.48	1.00	0.50

INDPARTY J3. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic Party?

Location: 899-899 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J3. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Republican	265	3.1 %	18.5%
2	Democrat	469	5.4 %	32.7%
3	DK/ Not Sure	701	8.1 %	48.9%
. (M)	-	7199	83.4 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
1435	7199	1.00	3.00	2.30	2.00	0.76

CLOPART J4. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic Party?

Location: 900-900 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J4. Do you think of yourself as closer to the Republican or Democratic Party?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Closer to Republican	283	3.3 %	9.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	Closer to Democrat	416	4.8 %	13.2%
3	DK/Ref	2445	28.3 %	77.8%
. (M)	-	5490	63.6 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3144	5490	1.00	3.00	2.69	3.00	0.63

FEELPART

J5. Which of the following best describes how your feelings about the parties have changed in recent years?

Location: 901-901 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J5. Which of the following best describes how your feelings about the parties have changed in recent years?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	I feel much closer to the Republicans than I used to	388	4.5 %	4.5%
2	I feel somewhat closer to the Republicans than I used to	483	5.6 %	5.6%
3	I feel much closer to the Democrats than I used to	1008	11.7 %	11.7%
4	I feel somewhat closer to the Democrats than I used to	844	9.8 %	9.8%
5	My feelings have not changed	3699	42.8 %	42.8%
6	Don't Know/Other	2212	25.6 %	25.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	4.58	5.00	1.37

IDEOLOGY

J6. Generally speaking, in politics do you consider yourself as conservative, liberal, middle-of-the-road, or don't you think of yourself in these terms?

Location: 902-902 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J6. Generally speaking, in politics do you consider yourself as conservative, liberal, middle-of-the-road, or don't you think of yourself in these terms?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Conservative	1976	22.9 %	22.9%
2	Liberal	1094	12.7 %	12.7%
3	Middle of the Road	1458	16.9 %	16.9%
4	Don't think of self in these terms	2680	31.0 %	31.0%
5	Don't know	1426	16.5 %	16.5%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.06	3.00	1.42

STRCONSV

J7. Do you consider yourself a strong or not very strong conservative?

Location: 903-903 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: J7. Do you consider yourself a strong or not very strong conservative?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strong conservative	1092	12.6 %	55.3%
2	Not very strong conservative	822	9.5 %	41.6%
3	Don't know	43	0.5 %	2.2%
4	Not applicable	19	0.2 %	1.0%
. (M)	-	6658	77.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1976	6658	1.00	4.00	1.49	1.00	0.59

STRLIB

J8. Do you consider yourself a strong or not very strong liberal?

Location: 904-904 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: J8. Do you consider yourself a strong or not very strong liberal?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strong Liberal	571	6.6 %	52.2%
2	Not very strong liberal	488	5.7 %	44.6%
3	Don't know	31	0.4 %	2.8%
4	Not applicable	4	0.0 %	0.4%
. (M)	-	7540	87.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1094	7540	1.00	4.00	1.51	1.00	0.57

LEANIDEO

J9. Do you consider yourself more like a liberal, or more like a conservative, or truly middle-of-the-road?

Location: 905-905 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: J9. Do you consider yourself more like a liberal, or more like a conservative, or truly middle-of-the-road?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Lean conservative	200	2.3 %	13.7%
2	Lean liberal	204	2.4 %	14.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	Firmly middle of the road	981	11.4 %	67.3%
4	Don't know	57	0.7 %	3.9%
5	Not applicable	16	0.2 %	1.1%
. (M)	-	7176	83.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
1458	7176	1.00	5.00	2.65	3.00	0.80

KNOWPART J10. Which political party, Democrat or Republican, has a majority in the United States House of Representatives?

Location: 906-906 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J10. Which political party, Democrat or Republican, has a majority in the United States House of Representatives?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Democrat	1185	13.7 %	13.7%
2	Republican	3511	40.7 %	40.7%
3	(DO NOT READ) DK	3938	45.6 %	45.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.32	2.00	0.70

STATE04 J11. In the United States, presidential elections are decided state-by-state. Can you tell me, in the election of 2004, which candidate, Bush or Kerry, won the most votes in ANSWERFROM(SAMPLE)?

Location: 907-907 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J11. In the United States, presidential elections are decided state-by-state. Can you tell me, in the election of 2004, which candidate, Bush or Kerry, won the most votes in ANSWERFROM(SAMPLE)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Bush	3251	37.7 %	37.7%
2	Kerry	1831	21.2 %	21.2%
3	DK	3162	36.6 %	36.6%
4	NA/Refused	390	4.5 %	4.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.08	2.00	0.96

CONCTPAR J12. Which one of the political parties is more conservative than the other at the national level, the Democrats or the Republicans?

Location: 908-908 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

- Study 20862 -

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: J12. Which one of the political parties is more conservative than the other at the national level, the Democrats or the Republicans?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Democrat	2246	26.0 %	26.0%
2	Republican	3108	36.0 %	36.0%
3	DK/Ref	3280	38.0 %	38.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.12	2.00	0.79

WAFFIRM **J13. Some states have programs by state agencies and universities to increase opportunities specifically targeted for women and minorities, including Latinos; while other states ban these programs. Does Washington have such programs or do they ban these pr**

Location: 909-909 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

FOR RESIDENTS OF WASHINGTON STATE

J13. Some states have programs by state agencies and universities to increase opportunities specifically targeted for women and minorities, including Latinos; while other states ban these programs. Does Washington have such programs or do they ban these practices?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Has a ban	29	0.3 %	7.2%
2	Allows such programs	188	2.2 %	46.7%
3	DK/NA	186	2.2 %	46.2%
. (M)	-	8231	95.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
403	8231	1.00	3.00	2.39	2.00	0.62

IALANG **J14. Many states have laws restricting government business and services to the English-language. Does Iowa have such a law?**

Location: 910-910 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

FOR RESIDENTS OF IOWA

J14. Many states have laws restricting government business and services to the English-language. Does Iowa have such a law?

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	76	0.9 %	19.0%
2	No	165	1.9 %	41.2%
3	Not sure/don't know	159	1.8 %	39.8%
. (M)	-	8234	95.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
400	8234	1.00	3.00	2.21	2.00	0.74

COLREP **K2b. In 2004, Ken Salazar and John Salazar were elected to the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House, from Colorado. What effect does their election have on the representation of ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)?**

Location: 911-911 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

FOR RESIDENTS OF COLORADO

K2b. In 2004, Ken Salazar and John Salazar were elected to the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House, from Colorado. What effect does their election have on the representation of ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)? Would you say ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are now...

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Represented much less well	39	0.5 %	9.7%
2	Represented somewhat less well	28	0.3 %	6.9%
3	Represented about the same	107	1.2 %	26.5%
4	Somewhat better represented	120	1.4 %	29.7%
5	Much better represented	110	1.3 %	27.2%
. (M)	-	8230	95.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
404	8230	1.00	5.00	3.58	4.00	1.23

PREFLAT **K2.A People can prefer a candidate for a variety of different reasons. How important is it for you, that a candidate ... Is ANSWERFROM(AQS4)?**

Location: 912-912 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

K2.A People can prefer a candidate for a variety of different reasons. How important is it for you, that a candidate ... Is ANSWERFROM(AQS4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not Important at all	2314	26.8 %	26.8%
2	Somewhat Important	2035	23.6 %	23.6%
3	Very Important	4285	49.6 %	49.6%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.23	2.00	0.84

PREFSPAN **K2.B People can prefer a candidate for a variety of different reasons. How important is it for you, that a candidate ... Speaks Spanish?**

Location: 913-913 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K2.B People can prefer a candidate for a variety of different reasons. How important is it for you, that a candidate ... Speaks Spanish?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not Important at all	1474	17.1 %	17.1%
2	Somewhat Important	1979	22.9 %	22.9%
3	Very Important	5181	60.0 %	60.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.43	3.00	0.77

PREFISSU **K2.C People can prefer a candidate for a variety of different reasons. How important is it for you, that a candidate ... Shares your positions on issues?**

Location: 914-914 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K2.C People can prefer a candidate for a variety of different reasons. How important is it for you, that a candidate ... Shares your positions on issues?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not Important at all	436	5.0 %	5.0%
2	Somewhat Important	1276	14.8 %	14.8%
3	Very Important	6922	80.2 %	80.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.75	3.00	0.54

BIGINTST **K3.A People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... Government is pretty much run by just a few big interests looking out for themselves, and no...**

Location: 915-915 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K3.A People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... Government is pretty much run by just a few big interests looking out for themselves, and not for the benefit of all the people. (REPEAT IF NECESSARY) Do you agree, neither agree nor disagree, or disagree with this statement?

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disagree	1142	13.2 %	13.2%
2	Somewhat disagree	1196	13.9 %	13.9%
3	Somewhat agree	2124	24.6 %	24.6%
4	Strongly agree	3201	37.1 %	37.1%
9	DK/NA	971	11.2 %	11.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	9.00	3.64	3.00	2.16

SAYSO

K3.B People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... People like me don't have any say in what the government does. (REPEAT IF NECESSARY) Do you ...

Location: 916-916 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K3.B People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... People like me don't have any say in what the government does. (REPEAT IF NECESSARY) Do you agree, neither agree nor disagree, or disagree with this statement?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disagree	1778	20.6 %	20.6%
2	Somewhat disagree	1571	18.2 %	18.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1907	22.1 %	22.1%
4	Strongly agree	2514	29.1 %	29.1%
9	DK/NA	864	10.0 %	10.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	9.00	3.30	3.00	2.19

COMPLIC

K3.C People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really und...

Location: 917-917 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K3.C People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understands what's going on. (REPEAT IF NECESSARY) Do you agree, neither agree nor disagree, or disagree with this statement?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disagree	1557	18.0 %	18.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	1259	14.6 %	14.6%
3	Somewhat agree	2242	26.0 %	26.0%
4	Strongly agree	2908	33.7 %	33.7%

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
9	DK/NA	668	7.7 %	7.7%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	9.00	3.29	3.00	1.98

NCONTACT

K3.D People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... People are better off avoiding contact with government (REPEAT IF NECESSARY) Do you agree, n...

Location: 918-918 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K3.D People have different ideas about the government in the United States. Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with each of these statements ... People are better off avoiding contact with government (REPEAT IF NECESSARY) Do you agree, neither agree nor disagree, or disagree with this statement?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	3392	39.3 %	39.3%
2	Somewhat disagree	1762	20.4 %	20.4%
3	Somewhat agree	1401	16.2 %	16.2%
4	Strongly agree	1284	14.9 %	14.9%
9	DK/NA	795	9.2 %	9.2%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	9.00	2.71	2.00	2.27

GOVTRUST

K4. How much of the time do you trust the government to do what is right - just about always, most of the time, some of the time or never?

Location: 919-919 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K4. How much of the time do you trust the government to do what is right - just about always, most of the time, some of the time or never?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Never	1605	18.6 %	18.6%
2	Some of the time	4300	49.8 %	49.8%
3	Most of the time	1669	19.3 %	19.3%
4	Just about always	1060	12.3 %	12.3%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.25	2.00	0.90

EQURIGHT

K5.A Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree with the following statements, or do you have no opinion ... No matter what a person's political beliefs are, they are entitled to the same legal rights and protections...

- Study 20862 -

Location: 920-920 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K5.A Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree with the following statements, or do you have no opinion ...No matter what a person's political beliefs are, they are entitled to the same legal rights and protections as anyone else.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	260	3.0 %	3.0%
2	Somewhat disagree	279	3.2 %	3.2%
3	Somewhat agree	836	9.7 %	9.7%
4	Strongly Agree	6745	78.1 %	78.1%
5	DK/Ref	514	6.0 %	6.0%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.81	4.00	0.72

SYSBLAME **K5.B Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree with the following statements, or do you have no opinion ... Most people who don't get ahead should not blame the system; they have only themselves to blame.**

Location: 921-921 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K5.B Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree with the following statements, or do you have no opinion ... Most people who don't get ahead should not blame the system; they have only themselves to blame.

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly disagree	1042	12.1 %	12.1%
2	Somewhat disagree	1103	12.8 %	12.8%
3	Somewhat agree	1641	19.0 %	19.0%
4	Strongly Agree	4213	48.8 %	48.8%
5	DK/Ref	635	7.4 %	7.4%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.27	4.00	1.15

CHANCE **K5.D Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree with the following statements, or do you have no opinion ... It is not really that big of a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.**

Location: 922-922 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K5.D Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree with the following statements, or do you have no opinion ... It is not really that big of a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disagree	1791	20.7 %	20.7%
2	Somewhat disagree	1400	16.2 %	16.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1648	19.1 %	19.1%
4	Strongly Agree	3147	36.4 %	36.4%
5	DK/Ref	648	7.5 %	7.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.94	3.00	1.29

BUSHEVAL

K6. Now I would like to ask you about your feelings towards President Bush. Thinking about the kind of person he is, would you say you view him Very Favorably, Somewhat Favorably, Somewhat Unfavorably, Very Unfavorably, or you have no feel...

Location: 923-923 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K6. Now I would like to ask you about your feelings towards President Bush. Thinking about the kind of person he is, would you say you view him Very Favorably, Somewhat Favorably, Somewhat Unfavorably, Very Unfavorably, or you have no feeling toward him one way or another?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No feeling at all	1682	19.5 %	19.5%
2	Very unfavorably	1842	21.3 %	21.3%
3	Somewhat unfavorably	1230	14.2 %	14.2%
4	Somewhat favorably	1961	22.7 %	22.7%
5	Very favorable	1030	11.9 %	11.9%
6	DK/NR	889	10.3 %	10.3%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.17	3.00	1.61

BUSHPOLC

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Location: 924-924 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

His policy positions

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	His policy positions	753	8.7 %	25.2%
2	Likeability	283	3.3 %	9.5%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	Leadership	759	8.8 %	25.4%
4	Ability to speak Spanish	157	1.8 %	5.2%
5	Relates well to Latinos	572	6.6 %	19.1%
6	Commitment to his Christian faith	467	5.4 %	15.6%
. (M)	-	5643	65.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
2991	5643	1.00	6.00	3.31	3.00	1.80

BUSHLIKE

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Location: 925-925 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Likeability

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	His policy positions	0	0.0 %	-
2	Likeability	149	1.7 %	39.4%
3	Leadership	63	0.7 %	16.7%
4	Ability to speak Spanish	34	0.4 %	9.0%
5	Relates well to Latinos	63	0.7 %	16.7%
6	Commitment to his Christian faith	69	0.8 %	18.3%
. (M)	-	8256	95.6 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
378	8256	2.00	6.00	3.58	3.00	1.57

BUSHLEAD

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Location: 926-926 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Leadership

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	His policy positions	2	0.0 %	1.0%
2	Likeability	0	0.0 %	-
3	Leadership	130	1.5 %	66.0%
4	Ability to speak Spanish	13	0.2 %	6.6%
5	Relates well to Latinos	26	0.3 %	13.2%
6	Commitment to his Christian faith	26	0.3 %	13.2%
. (M)	-	8437	97.7 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
197	8437	1.00	6.00	3.71	3.00	1.15

BUSHSPAN

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Location: 927-927 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Ability to speak Spanish

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	His policy positions	0	0.0 %	-
2	Likeability	1	0.0 %	0.7%
3	Leadership	0	0.0 %	-
4	Ability to speak Spanish	85	1.0 %	59.4%
5	Relates well to Latinos	35	0.4 %	24.5%
6	Commitment to his Christian faith	22	0.3 %	15.4%
. (M)	-	8491	98.3 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
143	8491	2.00	6.00	4.54	4.00	0.78

BUSHRLAT

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Location: 928-928 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

- Study 20862 -

Relates well to Latinos

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	His policy positions	0	0.0 %	-
2	Likeability	0	0.0 %	-
3	Leadership	0	0.0 %	-
4	Ability to speak Spanish	0	0.0 %	-
5	Relates well to Latinos	81	0.9 %	73.0%
6	Commitment to his Christian faith	30	0.3 %	27.0%
. (M)	-	8523	98.7 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
111	8523	5.00	6.00	5.27	5.00	0.45

BUSHFAIH

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Location: 929-929 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

K7. I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the following list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably. (READ LIST)

Commitment to his Christian faith

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	His policy positions	0	0.0 %	-
2	Likeability	0	0.0 %	-
3	Leadership	0	0.0 %	-
4	Ability to speak Spanish	0	0.0 %	-
5	Relates well to Latinos	0	0.0 %	-
6	Commitment to his Christian faith	79	0.9 %	100.0%
. (M)	-	8555	99.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
79	8555	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	0.00

BUSHAPPR

K8. How strongly do you approve or disapprove of how President Bush is doing as president? Would you say you strongly approve, somewhat approve, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove, or don't you have strong feelings on that?

Location: 930-930 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question:

K8. How strongly do you approve or disapprove of how President Bush is doing as president? Would you say you strongly approve, somewhat approve, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove, or don't you have strong feelings on that?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disapprove	2260	26.2 %	26.2%
2	Somewhat disapprove	1478	17.1 %	17.1%
3	Somewhat approve	1954	22.6 %	22.6%
4	Strongly approve	1096	12.7 %	12.7%
5	Don't have strong feelings	917	10.6 %	10.6%
6	DK/NR	929	10.8 %	10.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	2.97	3.00	1.65

ECONOMY

K10. Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Location:

931-931 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

K10. Now thinking about the economy in the country as a whole, would you say that over the past year the nation's economy has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Gotten worse	4164	48.2 %	48.2%
2	Stayed about the same	2441	28.3 %	28.3%
3	Gotten better	1497	17.3 %	17.3%
4	DK/RF	532	6.2 %	6.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	1.81	2.00	0.93

FINANCIL

K11. What about your personal financial situation? Over the past year, has it gotten better, stayed about the same or gotten worse?

Location:

932-932 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

K11. What about your personal financial situation? Over the past year, has it gotten better, stayed about the same or gotten worse?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Gotten worse	2047	23.7 %	23.7%
2	Stayed about the same	4037	46.8 %	46.8%
3	Gotten better	2320	26.9 %	26.9%
4	DK/RF	230	2.7 %	2.7%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.08	2.00	0.78

EMPSTAT K12. What is your employment status? Stop me when I describe your situation. Are you ... (READ LIST, STOP WHEN RESPONDENT INDICATES APPROPRIATE ANSWER)

Location: 933-933 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K12. What is your employment status? Stop me when I describe your situation. Are you ... (READ LIST, STOP WHEN RESPONDENT INDICATES APPROPRIATE ANSWER)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Employed full time	4896	56.7 %	56.7%
2	Working more than one job	213	2.5 %	2.5%
3	Employed part-time	622	7.2 %	7.2%
4	Engaged in occasional labor or day labor	231	2.7 %	2.7%
5	Currently unemployed	693	8.0 %	8.0%
6	A full time student	254	2.9 %	2.9%
7	Retired or permanently disabled	930	10.8 %	10.8%
8	Not working outside the home	795	9.2 %	9.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	8.00	3.01	1.00	2.63

USOCCUP K13. What kind of work do you usually do? (For example are you primarily a carpenter, teacher, sales clerk, bricklayer, meat processing, agricultural worker etc.)

Location: 934-1033 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K13. What kind of work do you usually do? (For example are you primarily a carpenter, teacher, sales clerk, bricklayer, meat processing, agricultural worker etc.)

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

FOROCCUP K14. What kind of work do you usually do in the US? (For example are you primarily a carpenter, teacher, sales clerk, bricklayer, meat processing, agricultural worker etc.)

Location: 1034-1133 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K14. What kind of work do you usually do in the US? (For example are you primarily a carpenter, teacher, sales clerk, bricklayer, meat processing, agricultural worker etc.)

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

UNIONM K15. Are you or anyone in your household a member of a union?

- Study 20862 -

Location: 1134-1134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K15. Are you or anyone in your household a member of a union?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	1061	12.3 %	12.3%
2	No	7384	85.5 %	85.5%
3	DK/Refused	189	2.2 %	2.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.90	2.00	0.37

JOBPAY K16. Where you currently live, have you ever been paid less than you were promised, or not paid at all, for work you completed?

Location: 1135-1135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K16. Where you currently live, have you ever been paid less than you were promised, or not paid at all, for work you completed?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Paid less	587	6.8 %	6.8%
2	Not paid	377	4.4 %	4.4%
3	Both	116	1.3 %	1.3%
4	Neither	6861	79.5 %	79.5%
5	DK	397	4.6 %	4.6%
6	Ref/NA	296	3.4 %	3.4%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.81	4.00	0.97

HOMEOWN K17. Do you own or rent your residence in the United States?

Location: 1136-1136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K17. Do you own or rent your residence in the United States?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Own	4438	51.4 %	51.4%
2	Rent	3831	44.4 %	44.4%
3	Other	266	3.1 %	3.1%
4	Refused	99	1.1 %	1.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	1.54	1.00	0.62

- Study 20862 -

LGTHRES

K18. How many years have you lived at your current address? (RECORD EXACT NUMBER OF YEARS, DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE) (LESS THAN 1 YEAR = 0; REFUSED = 99)

Location: 1137-1138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18. How many years have you lived at your current address? (RECORD EXACT NUMBER OF YEARS, DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE) (LESS THAN 1 YEAR = 0; REFUSED = 99)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
0	314	3.6 %	3.6%
1	955	11.1 %	11.1%
2	1080	12.5 %	12.5%
3	961	11.1 %	11.1%
4	704	8.2 %	8.2%
5	732	8.5 %	8.5%
6	526	6.1 %	6.1%
7	370	4.3 %	4.3%
8	342	4.0 %	4.0%
9	176	2.0 %	2.0%
10	441	5.1 %	5.1%
11	140	1.6 %	1.6%
12	159	1.8 %	1.8%
13	105	1.2 %	1.2%
14	103	1.2 %	1.2%
15	202	2.3 %	2.3%
16	77	0.9 %	0.9%
17	64	0.7 %	0.7%
18	78	0.9 %	0.9%
19	41	0.5 %	0.5%
20	169	2.0 %	2.0%
21	23	0.3 %	0.3%
22	33	0.4 %	0.4%
23	25	0.3 %	0.3%
24	22	0.3 %	0.3%
25	87	1.0 %	1.0%
26	33	0.4 %	0.4%
27	26	0.3 %	0.3%
28	28	0.3 %	0.3%
29	14	0.2 %	0.2%
30	110	1.3 %	1.3%
31	15	0.2 %	0.2%
32	26	0.3 %	0.3%
33	18	0.2 %	0.2%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
34	17	0.2 %	0.2%
35	40	0.5 %	0.5%
36	15	0.2 %	0.2%
37	7	0.1 %	0.1%
38	17	0.2 %	0.2%
39	4	0.0 %	0.0%
40	43	0.5 %	0.5%
41	2	0.0 %	0.0%
42	5	0.1 %	0.1%
43	8	0.1 %	0.1%
45	13	0.2 %	0.2%
46	10	0.1 %	0.1%
47	5	0.1 %	0.1%
48	3	0.0 %	0.0%
49	1	0.0 %	0.0%
50	13	0.2 %	0.2%
51	2	0.0 %	0.0%
52	5	0.1 %	0.1%
53	3	0.0 %	0.0%
54	4	0.0 %	0.0%
55	2	0.0 %	0.0%
56	1	0.0 %	0.0%
57	2	0.0 %	0.0%
59	1	0.0 %	0.0%
60	1	0.0 %	0.0%
65	3	0.0 %	0.0%
66	1	0.0 %	0.0%
70	1	0.0 %	0.0%
75	1	0.0 %	0.0%
90	1	0.0 %	0.0%
99	204	2.4 %	2.4%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	0.00	99.00	10.01	5.00	16.42

CAMOVE K18a1. Over the past year, have you considered or taken steps to move out of California?

Location: 1139-1139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: FOR RESIDENTS OF CALIFORNIA

- Study 20862 -

K18a1. Over the past year, have you considered or taken steps to move out of California?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Yes	190	2.2 %	15.8%
2	No	1014	11.7 %	84.2%
. (M)	-	7430	86.1 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
1204	7430	1.00	2.00	1.84	2.00	0.36

CADESTIN1

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1140-1141 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
	-	8444	97.8 %	97.8%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	3	0.0 %	0.0%
AZ	ARIZONA	33	0.4 %	0.4%
CO	COLORADO	11	0.1 %	0.1%
CT	CONNECTICUT	2	0.0 %	0.0%
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	15	0.2 %	0.2%
GA	GEORGIA	3	0.0 %	0.0%
HI	HAWAII	2	0.0 %	0.0%
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	3	0.0 %	0.0%
IL	ILLINOIS	3	0.0 %	0.0%
IN	INDIANA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
KS	KANSAS	3	0.0 %	0.0%
KY	KENTUCKY	1	0.0 %	0.0%
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
MI	MICHIGAN	1	0.0 %	0.0%
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	1	0.0 %	0.0%
MS	MISSISSIPPI	1	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	4	0.0 %	0.0%
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	5	0.1 %	0.1%
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	1	0.0 %	0.0%
NM	NEW MEXICO	6	0.1 %	0.1%
NV	NEVADA	19	0.2 %	0.2%
NY	NEW YORK	2	0.0 %	0.0%
OH	OHIO	2	0.0 %	0.0%
OK	OKLAHOMA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
OR	OREGON	8	0.1 %	0.1%
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
TX	TEXAS	36	0.4 %	0.4%
UT	UTAH	9	0.1 %	0.1%
VA	VIRGINIA	2	0.0 %	0.0%
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	9	0.1 %	0.1%
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	1	0.0 %	0.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN2

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1142-1143 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8619	99.8 %	99.8%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
CO	COLORADO	1	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	2	0.0 %	0.0%
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	1	0.0 %	0.0%
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	2	0.0 %	0.0%
NV	NEVADA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	1	0.0 %	0.0%
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	3	0.0 %	0.0%
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	1	0.0 %	0.0%
UT	UTAH	1	0.0 %	0.0%
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
WA	WASHINGTON	1	0.0 %	0.0%
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN3

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1144-1145 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8628	99.9 %	99.9%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	1	0.0 %	0.0%
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	1	0.0 %	0.0%
UT	UTAH	2	0.0 %	0.0%
VA	VIRGINIA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN4

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1146-1147 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN5

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1148-1149 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN6

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1150-1151 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
-	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN7

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1152-1153 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN8 K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1154-1155 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN9

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1156-1157 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CADESTIN10

K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

Location: 1158-1159 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K18a2. What state(s) have you considered moving to?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	8634	100.0 %	100.0%
AK	ALASKA	0	0.0 %	-
AL	ALABAMA	0	0.0 %	-
AR	ARKANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
AZ	ARIZONA	0	0.0 %	-
CO	COLORADO	0	0.0 %	-
CT	CONNECTICUT	0	0.0 %	-
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	0	0.0 %	-
DE	DELAWARE	0	0.0 %	-
FL	FLORIDA	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
GA	GEORGIA	0	0.0 %	-
HI	HAWAII	0	0.0 %	-
IA	IOWA	0	0.0 %	-
ID	IDAHO	0	0.0 %	-
IL	ILLINOIS	0	0.0 %	-
IN	INDIANA	0	0.0 %	-
KS	KANSAS	0	0.0 %	-
KY	KENTUCKY	0	0.0 %	-
LA	LOUISIANA	0	0.0 %	-
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	0	0.0 %	-
MD	MARYLAND	0	0.0 %	-
ME	MAINE	0	0.0 %	-
MI	MICHIGAN	0	0.0 %	-
MN	MINNESOTA	0	0.0 %	-
MO	MISSOURI	0	0.0 %	-
MS	MISSISSIPPI	0	0.0 %	-
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
NE	NEBRASKA	0	0.0 %	-
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	0	0.0 %	-
NM	NEW MEXICO	0	0.0 %	-
NV	NEVADA	0	0.0 %	-
NY	NEW YORK	0	0.0 %	-
OH	OHIO	0	0.0 %	-
OK	OKLAHOMA	0	0.0 %	-
OR	OREGON	0	0.0 %	-
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	0	0.0 %	-
RI	RHODE ISLAND	0	0.0 %	-
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	0	0.0 %	-
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	0	0.0 %	-
TN	TENNESSEE	0	0.0 %	-
TX	TEXAS	0	0.0 %	-
UT	UTAH	0	0.0 %	-
VA	VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	0	0.0 %	-
WI	WISCONSIN	0	0.0 %	-
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	0	0.0 %	-
WY	WYOMING	0	0.0 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

CAWHYMV

K18a3. What is the primary reason that you are considering moving out of state?

Location: 1160-1160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: K18a3. What is the primary reason that you are considering moving out of state? (DO NOT READ LIST)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Family or friends have moved away	12	0.1 %	6.3%
2	Limited job opportunities in California	30	0.3 %	15.8%
3	Wages are too low	17	0.2 %	8.9%
4	Bad schools	5	0.1 %	2.6%
5	Bad neighborhood	10	0.1 %	5.3%
6	High rents and/or home prices	55	0.6 %	28.9%
7	Hostile environment in the state against Latinos	4	0.0 %	2.1%
8	Other (Specify)	57	0.7 %	30.0%
. (M)	-	8444	97.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
190	8444	1.00	8.00	5.30	6.00	2.41

CAWHYMV2

ENTER OTHER FOR QK18a3 HERE

Location: 1161-1260 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: ENTER OTHER FOR QK18a3 HERE

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	8577	99.3 %	99.3%
BECAUSE OF MY HUSBAND	1	0.0 %	0.0%
BECAUSE I HAVE INHERITED MY PARENTS HOUSE THAT IS IN ARIZONA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
BECAUSE OF A GIRL	1	0.0 %	0.0%
BECAUSE STATE TAXES AND I DON'T LIKE THE LIGISLATION	1	0.0 %	0.0%
BECAUSE THE COST OF LIVING IS TOO HIGH AND THERE IS TOO MANY REGULATIONS HERE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
BETTER LIFE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
Boyfriend	1	0.0 %	0.0%
CALIFORNIA IS VERY POPULATED	1	0.0 %	0.0%
CHANGE OF AIR OF CALIFORNIA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
CHANGE OF FAMILIES	1	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
CHANGE OF ROUTINE/CHANGE OF LIFE AND WORK	1	0.0 %	0.0%
CHEAPER THERE AND CHEAPER IN TEXAS	1	0.0 %	0.0%
CONGESTION POPULATION	1	0.0 %	0.0%
COST OF LIVING	3	0.0 %	0.0%
Cost of living, gas prices	1	0.0 %	0.0%
ECONOMIC	1	0.0 %	0.0%
EVERYTHING IS EXPENSIVE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
EVERYTHING IS EXPENSIVE THERE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
FOR MY DAUGHTERS/ FOR THE EDUCATION/ ITS NOT GOOD HERE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
Family moved/ high housing costs	1	0.0 %	0.0%
HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BUY A HOUSE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
HEALTH ARTHRITIS	1	0.0 %	0.0%
I AM THINKING OF RETIRING HERE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
I DON'T KNOW	2	0.0 %	0.0%
I DONT LIKE IT HERE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
I'M BORED OF IT	1	0.0 %	0.0%
JUST TO KNOW	1	0.0 %	0.0%
LESS POPULATION	1	0.0 %	0.0%
LIFE IS MORE TRANQUIL NOT SO MUCH PRESSURE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
LIFE PRESSURES	1	0.0 %	0.0%
LIKES WEATHER IN WASHINGTON	1	0.0 %	0.0%
MASS POPULATION	1	0.0 %	0.0%
MY FAMILY IS OUT THERE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
My mom started living there	1	0.0 %	0.0%
NEW JOB	1	0.0 %	0.0%
NO STATE TAX	1	0.0 %	0.0%
NONE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
SELLING HOUSES/ CHEAPER TO LIVE IN ARIZONA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
TAXES	2	0.0 %	0.0%
TAXES NOT BUISNESS FRIENDLY	1	0.0 %	0.0%
THE COST OF LIFE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
THE COST OF LIFE IS HIGH	1	0.0 %	0.0%
THE LAWS OF CALIFORNIA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
THE WAY OF LIFE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
THINGS ARE TOO EXPENSIVE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
TO BETTER THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ME AND MY CHILDREN	1	0.0 %	0.0%
TO GET AWAY FROM MY SPOUSE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
TOO EXPENSIVE IN CALIFORNIA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
We went to Texas on our vacation and we liked it	1	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
high taxes	1	0.0 %	0.0%
just for a change of scenery and climate etc.	1	0.0 %	0.0%
something new	1	0.0 %	0.0%
the smoke	1	0.0 %	0.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

IMMOTHSTAT

K19. Have you lived in another state in the US previously?

Location: 1261-1261 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K19. Have you lived in another state in the US previously?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	2104	24.4 %	34.0%
2	No	4057	47.0 %	65.6%
3	DK/Ref	23	0.3 %	0.4%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	3.00	1.66	2.00	0.48

IMMGSTAT

K19b. Which state was that?

Location: 1262-1263 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: K19b. Which state was that?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
	-	6530	75.6 %	75.6%
AK	ALASKA	3	0.0 %	0.0%
AL	ALABAMA	12	0.1 %	0.1%
AR	ARKANSAS	6	0.1 %	0.1%
AZ	ARIZONA	58	0.7 %	0.7%
CA	CALIFORNIA	653	7.6 %	7.6%
CO	COLORADO	42	0.5 %	0.5%
CT	CONNECTICUT	23	0.3 %	0.3%
DC	DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	4	0.0 %	0.0%
DE	DELAWARE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
FL	FLORIDA	147	1.7 %	1.7%
GA	GEORGIA	30	0.3 %	0.3%
HI	HAWAII	3	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
IA	IOWA	8	0.1 %	0.1%
ID	IDAHO	7	0.1 %	0.1%
IL	ILLINOIS	81	0.9 %	0.9%
IN	INDIANA	12	0.1 %	0.1%
KS	KANSAS	13	0.2 %	0.2%
KY	KENTUCKY	5	0.1 %	0.1%
LA	LOUISIANA	10	0.1 %	0.1%
MA	MASSACHUSETTS	29	0.3 %	0.3%
MD	MARYLAND	18	0.2 %	0.2%
ME	MAINE	1	0.0 %	0.0%
MI	MICHIGAN	18	0.2 %	0.2%
MN	MINNESOTA	11	0.1 %	0.1%
MO	MISSOURI	9	0.1 %	0.1%
MS	MISSISSIPPI	3	0.0 %	0.0%
MT	MONTANA	0	0.0 %	-
NC	NORTH CAROLINA	41	0.5 %	0.5%
ND	NORTH DAKOTA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
NE	NEBRASKA	18	0.2 %	0.2%
NH	NEW HAMPSHIRE	0	0.0 %	-
NJ	NEW JERSEY	77	0.9 %	0.9%
NM	NEW MEXICO	20	0.2 %	0.2%
NV	NEVADA	19	0.2 %	0.2%
NY	NEW YORK	250	2.9 %	2.9%
OH	OHIO	13	0.2 %	0.2%
OK	OKLAHOMA	10	0.1 %	0.1%
OR	OREGON	19	0.2 %	0.2%
PA	PENNSYLVANIA	22	0.3 %	0.3%
RF	REFUSED	38	0.4 %	0.4%
RI	RHODE ISLAND	4	0.0 %	0.0%
SC	SOUTH CAROLINA	7	0.1 %	0.1%
SD	SOUTH DAKOTA	1	0.0 %	0.0%
TN	TENNESSEE	10	0.1 %	0.1%
TX	TEXAS	225	2.6 %	2.6%
UT	UTAH	13	0.2 %	0.2%
VA	VIRGINIA	36	0.4 %	0.4%
VT	VERMONT	0	0.0 %	-
WA	WASHINGTON	54	0.6 %	0.6%
WI	WISCONSIN	11	0.1 %	0.1%
WV	WEST VIRGINIA	4	0.0 %	0.0%
WY	WYOMING	4	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

RGCOMM **L1. Thinking about issues like job opportunities, educational attainment or income, how much do you have in common with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)? Would you say you have a lot in common, some in common, little in common, or nothing at all in ...**

Location: 1264-1264 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L1. Thinking about issues like job opportunities, educational attainment or income, how much do you have in common with other (Answer to S4)? Would you say you have a lot in common, some in common, little in common, or nothing at all in common?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	537	6.2 %	6.2%
2	Little	1475	17.1 %	17.1%
3	Some	3051	35.3 %	35.3%
4	Lot	3106	36.0 %	36.0%
5	DK/NA	465	5.4 %	5.4%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.17	3.00	0.98

RGPCOMM **L2. Now thinking about things like government services and employment, political power, and representation, how much do you have in common with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)? Would you say you have a lot in common, some in common, little in comm...**

Location: 1265-1265 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L2. Now thinking about things like government services and employment, political power, and representation, how much do you have in common with other Latinos/Hispanics? Would you say you have a lot in common, some in common, little in common, or nothing at all in common?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	1320	15.3 %	15.3%
2	Little	1855	21.5 %	21.5%
3	Some	2895	33.5 %	33.5%
4	Lot	1951	22.6 %	22.6%
5	DK/NA	613	7.1 %	7.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.85	3.00	1.15

RGFATE **L3. How much does your 'doing well' depend on other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?**

Location: 1266-1266 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

- Study 20862 -

Interval: discrete

Question: L3. How much does your 'doing well' depend on other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Nothing	1319	15.3 %	15.3%
2	Little	1274	14.8 %	14.8%
3	Some	2004	23.2 %	23.2%
4	Lot	3421	39.6 %	39.6%
5	DK/NA	616	7.1 %	7.1%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.09	3.00	1.20

LATCOMM L4. Thinking about issues like job opportunities, education or income, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) have in common with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)? Would you say ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) share a lot in common, some things in common, little in common...

Location: 1267-1267 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L4. Thinking about issues like job opportunities, education or income, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) have in common with other Latinos or Hispanics? Would you say ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) share a lot in common, some things in common, little in common, or nothing in common with other Latinos?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Nothing	395	4.6 %	4.6%
2	Little	1221	14.1 %	14.1%
3	Some	2768	32.1 %	32.1%
4	Lot	3662	42.4 %	42.4%
5	DK/NA	588	6.8 %	6.8%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.33	3.00	0.96

LATPCOMM L5. Now thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) have in common with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)? Would you say ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) share a lot in common, so...

Location: 1268-1268 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L5. Now thinking about things like government services and employment, political power and representation, how much do ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) have in common with other Hispanics or Latinos? Would you say ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) share a lot in common, some things in common, little in common, or nothing in common with other Latinos?

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	589	6.8 %	6.8%
2	Little	1752	20.3 %	20.3%
3	Some	2935	34.0 %	34.0%
4	Lot	2629	30.4 %	30.4%
5	DK/NA	729	8.4 %	8.4%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.13	3.00	1.05

LATFATE

L6. How much does ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) 'doing well' depend on how other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?

Location: 1269-1269 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L6. How much does ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) 'doing well' depend on how other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) are also doing well? A lot, some, a little, or not at all?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Nothing	692	8.0 %	8.0%
2	Little	1121	13.0 %	13.0%
3	Some	2161	25.0 %	25.0%
4	Lot	3988	46.2 %	46.2%
5	DK/NA	672	7.8 %	7.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.33	4.00	1.06

MSIMMATT

L6b. In general, how would you assess the attitude of the public in ANSWERFROM(SAMPLE) towards ANSWERFROM(AQS4) immigrants? Would you say it has been very welcoming, welcoming, somewhat unwelcoming, or very unwelcoming?

Location: 1270-1270 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: FOR RESIDENTS OF GEORGIA, IOWA, NORTH CAROLINA, ARKANSAS, NEVADA, WASHINGTON, AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA METRO AREA

L6b. In general, how would you assess the attitude of the public in ANSWERFROM(SAMPLE) towards ANSWERFROM(AQS4) immigrants? Would you say it has been very welcoming, welcoming, somewhat unwelcoming, or very unwelcoming?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Very unwelcoming	194	2.2 %	7.9%
2	Somewhat welcoming	799	9.3 %	32.3%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	Welcoming	1042	12.1 %	42.2%
4	Very welcoming	313	3.6 %	12.7%
9	(DO NOT READ) DK/NR	122	1.4 %	4.9%
. (M)	-	6164	71.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
2470	6164	1.00	9.00	2.94	3.00	1.59

LAJOCOMM **L7.A Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) are in competition with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B). After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with oth...**

Location: 1271-1271 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

L7.A Some have suggested that [Answer to B4] are in competition with other Latinos. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with other Latinos...

In getting jobs?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No competition at all	3312	38.4 %	38.4%
2	Weak competition	1947	22.6 %	22.6%
3	Strong competition	3375	39.1 %	39.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.01	2.00	0.88

LAEDCOMM **L7.B Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) are in competition with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B). After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with oth...**

Location: 1272-1272 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

L7.B Some have suggested that [Answer to B4] are in competition with other Latinos. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with other Latinos...

Having access to education and quality schools?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No competition at all	3937	45.6 %	45.6%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	Weak competition	2124	24.6 %	24.6%
3	Strong competition	2573	29.8 %	29.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.84	2.00	0.85

LAGOCOMM

L7.C Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) are in competition with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B). After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with oth...

Location: 1273-1273 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

L7.C Some have suggested that [Answer to B4] are in competition with other Latinos. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with other Latinos...

Getting jobs with the city or state government?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No competition at all	3606	41.8 %	41.8%
2	Weak competition	2396	27.8 %	27.8%
3	Strong competition	2632	30.5 %	30.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.89	2.00	0.84

LAOFCOMM

L7.D Some have suggested that ANSWERFROM(AQB4C) are in competition with other ANSWERFROM(AQS4B). After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with oth...

Location: 1274-1274 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question:

L7.D Some have suggested that [Answer to B4] are in competition with other Latinos. After each of the next items, would you tell me if you believe there is strong competition, weak competition, or no competition at all with other Latinos...

Having (Answer to B4) representatives in elected office?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	No competition at all	3269	37.9 %	37.9%
2	Weak competition	2569	29.8 %	29.8%
3	Strong competition	2796	32.4 %	32.4%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.95	2.00	0.84

AMERICAN **L8. The first one is ... 'American.' how strongly or not do you think of yourself as an American?**

Location: 1275-1275 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L8. The first one is ... 'American.' how strongly or not do you think of yourself as an American?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all	1241	14.4 %	14.4%
2	Not very strongly	1444	16.7 %	16.7%
3	Somewhat strongly	2183	25.3 %	25.3%
4	Very strongly	3438	39.8 %	39.8%
5	DK/NA	258	3.0 %	3.0%
6	Refused	70	0.8 %	0.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.03	3.00	1.15

RGIDENT **L9. The first one is ... 'ANSWERFROM(AQB4B)', how strongly or not do you think of yourself as ANSWERFROM(AQB4B)?**

Location: 1276-1276 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L9. The first one is ... 'ANSWERFROM(AQB4B)', how strongly or not do you think of yourself as ANSWERFROM(AQB4B)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all	416	4.8 %	4.8%
2	Not very strongly	702	8.1 %	8.1%
3	Somewhat strongly	1868	21.6 %	21.6%
4	Very strongly	5389	62.4 %	62.4%
5	DK/NA	189	2.2 %	2.2%
6	Refused	70	0.8 %	0.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.51	4.00	0.89

LAIDENT **L10. The first one is ... 'ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)', how strongly or not do you think of yourself as ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)?**

Location: 1277-1277 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question:

L10. The first one is ... 'ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)', how strongly or not do you think of yourself as ANSWERFROM(AQS4B)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all	312	3.6 %	3.6%
2	Not very strongly	524	6.1 %	6.1%
3	Somewhat strongly	2106	24.4 %	24.4%
4	Very strongly	5423	62.8 %	62.8%
5	DK/NA	216	2.5 %	2.5%
6	Refused	53	0.6 %	0.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	3.56	4.00	0.82

PRIMEID

L11. Of the three previous terms, ANSWERFROM(AQS4), or ANSWERFROM(AQB4B) or American, which best describes you?

Location:

1278-1278 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

L11. Of the three previous terms, ANSWERFROM(AQS4), or ANSWERFROM(AQB4B) or American, which best describes you?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	ANSWERFROM(AQS4)	3266	37.8 %	37.8%
2	ANSWERFROM(AQB4B)	3324	38.5 %	38.5%
3	American	1475	17.1 %	17.1%
4	None of the above	264	3.1 %	3.1%
5	DK/NA	231	2.7 %	2.7%
6	Refused	74	0.9 %	0.9%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	6.00	1.97	2.00	1.02

AMERBORN

L12.A When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... Have been born in the United States?

Location:

1279-1279 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

L12.A When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... Have been born in the United States?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not important	2590	30.0 %	30.0%
2	Somewhat important	2209	25.6 %	25.6%
3	Very important	3835	44.4 %	44.4%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.14	2.00	0.85

AMERENGL

L12.B When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... To speak English well?

Location: 1280-1280 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L12.B When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... To speak English well?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not important	343	4.0 %	4.0%
2	Somewhat important	957	11.1 %	11.1%
3	Very important	7334	84.9 %	84.9%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.81	3.00	0.48

AMERWHIT

L12.C When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... To be White?

Location: 1281-1281 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L12.C When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... To be White?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not important	5416	62.7 %	62.7%
2	Somewhat important	1623	18.8 %	18.8%
3	Very important	1595	18.5 %	18.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.56	1.00	0.79

AMERCHRT

L12.D When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... To be Christian?

Location: 1282-1282 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L12.D When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is very important, somewhat important, or not important to ... To be Christian?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not important	3167	36.7 %	36.7%
2	Somewhat important	1902	22.0 %	22.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	Very important	3565	41.3 %	41.3%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	2.05	2.00	0.88

NATPROB L13. What do you think is THE one most important problem facing the country today? [DO NOT READ LIST]

Location: 1283-1284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L13. What do you think is THE one most important problem facing the country today? [DO NOT READ LIST]

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	The economy	1222	14.2 %	14.2%
2	Unemployment/jobs	377	4.4 %	4.4%
3	Education/public schools	250	2.9 %	2.9%
4	Crime	187	2.2 %	2.2%
5	Drugs	146	1.7 %	1.7%
6	Health Care	82	0.9 %	0.9%
7	Race relations	213	2.5 %	2.5%
8	Values/Family values/Morality	77	0.9 %	0.9%
9	Budget Deficit	37	0.4 %	0.4%
10	Social Security/care for the elderly	32	0.4 %	0.4%
11	Illegal immigration	907	10.5 %	10.5%
12	Affirmative action	9	0.1 %	0.1%
13	Welfare/Welfare reform	15	0.2 %	0.2%
14	Environment	23	0.3 %	0.3%
15	Political system/corruption/scandal	140	1.6 %	1.6%
16	Foreign policy/International concerns/National defense	180	2.1 %	2.1%
17	Abortion	11	0.1 %	0.1%
18	Something else (SPECIFY)	865	10.0 %	10.0%
19	DK/RF	1079	12.5 %	12.5%
20	Iraq War	2782	32.2 %	32.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	20.00	13.31	18.00	7.51

NATPROB2 ENTER OTHER FOR QL13 HERE

Location: 1285-1384 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question: ENTER OTHER FOR QL13 HERE

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

PARTPROB L14. Which political party do you think has a better approach to address this problem? Is it ... (READ LIST)"

Location: 1385-1385 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L14. Which political party do you think has a better approach to address this problem? Is it [ROTATE: the Republicans or the Democrats], or is neither party better than the other? (READ LIST)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Republicans	883	10.2 %	10.2%
2	Democrats	1892	21.9 %	21.9%
3	Or is neither party better than the other?	3527	40.9 %	40.9%
4	DK/RF	2332	27.0 %	27.0%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.85	3.00	0.94

LATPROB L15. What do you think is THE one most important problem facing the ANSWERFROM(AQS4) community today? [DO NOT READ LIST]

Location: 1386-1387 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L15. What do you think is THE one most important problem facing the ANSWERFROM(AQS4) community today? [DO NOT READ LIST]

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	The economy	581	6.7 %	6.7%
2	Unemployment/jobs	1049	12.1 %	12.1%
3	Education/public schools	851	9.9 %	9.9%
4	Crime	159	1.8 %	1.8%
5	Drugs	168	1.9 %	1.9%
6	Health Care	72	0.8 %	0.8%
7	Race relations	395	4.6 %	4.6%
8	Values/Family values/Morality	60	0.7 %	0.7%
9	Budget Deficit	14	0.2 %	0.2%
10	Social Security/care for the elderly	13	0.2 %	0.2%
11	Illegal immigration	2546	29.5 %	29.5%
12	Affirmative action	24	0.3 %	0.3%
13	Welfare/Welfare reform	18	0.2 %	0.2%
14	Environment	10	0.1 %	0.1%
15	Political system/corruption/scandal	47	0.5 %	0.5%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
16	Foreign policy/International concerns/National defense	23	0.3 %	0.3%
17	Abortion	1	0.0 %	0.0%
18	Something else (SPECIFY)	1049	12.1 %	12.1%
19	DK/RF	1417	16.4 %	16.4%
20	Iraq War	137	1.6 %	1.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	20.00	10.30	11.00	6.55

LATPROB2 ENTER OTHER FOR QL15 HERE

Location: 1388-1487 (width: 100; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: ENTER OTHER FOR QL15 HERE

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

LPARTPRB L16. Which political party do you think has a better approach to address the problem you have identified? Is it ... (READ LIST)

Location: 1488-1488 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L16. Which political party do you think has a better approach to address the problem you have identified? Is it [ROTATE: the Republicans or the Democrats], or is neither party better than the other? (READ LIST)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Republicans	745	8.6 %	8.6%
2	Democrats	2136	24.7 %	24.7%
3	Or is neither party better than the other?	3281	38.0 %	38.0%
4	DK/RF	2472	28.6 %	28.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.87	3.00	0.93

POLICETR L17. Do you think the police in your community treat ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) fairly?

Location: 1489-1489 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L17. Do you think the police in your community treat ANSWERFROM(AQS4B) fairly?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	4173	48.3 %	48.3%

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
2	No	3357	38.9 %	38.9%
3	Don't know	1104	12.8 %	12.8%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.64	2.00	0.70

VICTIM **L18. Have you ever been the victim of a crime in this country?**

Location: 1490-1490 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L18. Have you ever been the victim of a crime in this country?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Yes	1307	15.1 %	15.1%
2	No	7245	83.9 %	83.9%
3	DK/RF	82	0.9 %	0.9%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.86	2.00	0.38

PERPTR **L19. Was the person who committed the crime Hispanic, White, Black or don't you know?**

Location: 1491-1491 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L19. Was the person who committed the crime Hispanic, White, Black or don't you know?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Black	267	3.1 %	20.4%
2	White	231	2.7 %	17.7%
3	Hispanic	270	3.1 %	20.7%
4	Other	55	0.6 %	4.2%
5	Don't Know	484	5.6 %	37.0%
. (M)	-	7327	84.9 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
1307	7327	1.00	5.00	3.20	3.00	1.57

SPLITSAM **FOR TESTING CHOOSE SPLIT SAMPLE A/B?**

Location: 1492-1492 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: FOR TESTING CHOOSE SPLIT SAMPLE A/B?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Sample A do not ask E-J	4355	50.4 %	50.4%

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
2	Sample B do ask E-J	4279	49.6 %	49.6%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	2.00	1.50	1.00	0.50

RROIRAQ **L20.A How about ... Keep U.S. military troops in Iraq as long as it takes to stabilize their government. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)**

Location: 1493-1493 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L20.A How about ... Keep U.S. military troops in Iraq as long as it takes to stabilize their government. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Oppose	3353	38.8 %	38.8%
2	Oppose	1776	20.6 %	20.6%
3	Support	1124	13.0 %	13.0%
4	Strongly Support	1029	11.9 %	11.9%
5	Not Sure/ DK	1352	15.7 %	15.7%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.45	2.00	1.48

INCSUPP **L20.B How about ... Government should provide income support to those who need it (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)**

Location: 1494-1494 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L20.B How about ... Government should provide income support to those who need it (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Oppose	421	4.9 %	4.9%
2	Oppose	604	7.0 %	7.0%
3	Support	3034	35.1 %	35.1%
4	Strongly Support	3813	44.2 %	44.2%
5	Not Sure/ DK	762	8.8 %	8.8%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.45	4.00	0.93

HEALTH **L20.C How about ... The current health-care system needs government intervention to improve access and reduce costs (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)**

Location: 1495-1495 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

- Study 20862 -

Interval: discrete

Question: L20.C How about ... The current health-care system needs government intervention to improve access and reduce costs (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Oppose	332	3.8 %	3.8%
2	Oppose	387	4.5 %	4.5%
3	Support	2386	27.6 %	27.6%
4	Strongly Support	4728	54.8 %	54.8%
5	Not Sure/ DK	801	9.3 %	9.3%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.61	4.00	0.86

DREAMACT L20.D How about ... Undocumented immigrants attending college should be charged a higher tuition rate at state colleges and universities, even if they grew up and graduated high-school in the state. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Location: 1496-1496 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L20.D How about ... Undocumented immigrants attending college should be charged a higher tuition rate at state colleges and universities, even if they grew up and graduated high-school in the state. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Oppose	4757	55.1 %	55.1%
2	Oppose	1974	22.9 %	22.9%
3	Support	618	7.2 %	7.2%
4	Strongly Support	627	7.3 %	7.3%
5	Not Sure/ DK	658	7.6 %	7.6%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	1.89	1.00	1.26

MATCONSL L20.E How about ... Use of matricula consular-as an ID issued by foreign countries-as an acceptable form of identification for immigrants in the US. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Location: 1497-1497 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L20.E How about ... Use of matricula consular-as an ID issued by foreign countries-as an acceptable form of identification for immigrants in the US. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Oppose	417	4.8 %	9.7%
2	Oppose	427	4.9 %	10.0%
3	Support	1162	13.5 %	27.2%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
4	Strongly Support	1580	18.3 %	36.9%
5	Not Sure/ DK	693	8.0 %	16.2%
. (M)	-	4355	50.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4279	4355	1.00	5.00	3.40	4.00	1.16

STDTESTS

L20.F How about ... Use standardized tests to determine whether a child is promoted to the next grade or graduates from high school. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Location: 1498-1498 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L20.F How about ... Use standardized tests to determine whether a child is promoted to the next grade or graduates from high school. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Oppose	572	6.6 %	13.4%
2	Oppose	598	6.9 %	14.0%
3	Support	1199	13.9 %	28.0%
4	Strongly Support	1181	13.7 %	27.6%
5	Not Sure/ DK	729	8.4 %	17.0%
. (M)	-	4355	50.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4279	4355	1.00	5.00	3.21	3.00	1.26

SCHEQUAL

L20.G How about ... Fund public education so that all school districts have about the same amount of money to spend per student. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

Location: 1499-1499 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L20.G How about ... Fund public education so that all school districts have about the same amount of money to spend per student. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Oppose	147	1.7 %	3.1%
2	Oppose	185	2.1 %	3.9%
3	Support	1471	17.0 %	31.3%
4	Strongly Support	2453	28.4 %	52.1%
5	Not Sure/ DK	451	5.2 %	9.6%
. (M)	-	3927	45.5 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4707	3927	1.00	5.00	3.61	4.00	0.83

- Study 20862 -

VOUCHER **L20.H How about ... Provide school vouchers to pay for a portion of the cost to send children to private schools, even if that would take some money away from public schools. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)**

Location: 1500-1500 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L20.H How about ... Provide school vouchers to pay for a portion of the cost to send children to private schools, even if that would take some money away from public schools. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Oppose	770	8.9 %	18.0%
2	Oppose	848	9.8 %	19.8%
3	Support	1023	11.8 %	23.9%
4	Strongly Support	963	11.2 %	22.5%
5	Not Sure/ DK	675	7.8 %	15.8%
. (M)	-	4355	50.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4279	4355	1.00	5.00	2.98	3.00	1.33

IMMERSN **L20.I How about ... Replace multi-year bilingual instruction in schools with instruction only in English after one year. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)**

Location: 1501-1501 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L20.I How about ... Replace multi-year bilingual instruction in schools with instruction only in English after one year. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Oppose	903	10.5 %	21.1%
2	Oppose	936	10.8 %	21.9%
3	Support	1056	12.2 %	24.7%
4	Strongly Support	829	9.6 %	19.4%
5	Not Sure/ DK	555	6.4 %	13.0%
. (M)	-	4355	50.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4279	4355	1.00	5.00	2.81	3.00	1.32

GUARINC **L20.J How about ... Government should provide income support to those who try to provide for themselves, but who can not adequately do so. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)**

Location: 1502-1502 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question:

L20.J How about ... Government should provide income support to those who try to provide for themselves, but who can not adequately do so. (REPEAT RESPONSE CHOICES AS NEEDED)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly Oppose	196	2.3 %	4.6%
2	Oppose	310	3.6 %	7.2%
3	Support	1637	19.0 %	38.3%
4	Strongly Support	1611	18.7 %	37.6%
5	Not Sure/ DK	525	6.1 %	12.3%
. (M)	-	4355	50.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4279	4355	1.00	5.00	3.46	3.00	0.96

SPLITSAM2

FOR TESTING CHOOSE SPLIT SAMPLE A/B?

Location:

1503-1503 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

FOR TESTING CHOOSE SPLIT SAMPLE A/B?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Sample A ASK Q21 AND Q22	4321	50.0 %	50.0%
2	Sample B ASK neither	4313	50.0 %	50.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	2.00	1.50	1.00	0.50

SAMESEX

L21. What is your view about same-sex couples? Should they be permitted to ... (READ LIST)

Location:

1504-1504 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

L21. What is your view about same-sex couples? Should they be permitted to ... (READ LIST)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Legally marry?	788	9.1 %	18.2%
2	Enter into civil unions?	314	3.6 %	7.3%
3	Or should they receive NO legal recognition?	1486	17.2 %	34.4%
4	No opinion/NA	1733	20.1 %	40.1%
. (M)	-	4313	50.0 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4321	4313	1.00	4.00	2.96	3.00	1.10

ABORTION

L22. Generally speaking, do you think abortion should be ...

Location:

1505-1505 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

- Study 20862 -

Interval: discrete

Question: L22. Generally speaking, do you think abortion should be ...

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Legal in all circumstances.	447	5.2 %	10.3%
2	Legal in most circumstances.	338	3.9 %	7.8%
3	Legal only when necessary to save the life of the woman or in cases of rape or incest	2071	24.0 %	47.9%
4	Illegal in all circumstances	879	10.2 %	20.3%
5	Unsure	586	6.8 %	13.6%
. (M)	-	4313	50.0 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
4321	4313	1.00	5.00	3.19	3.00	1.10

SPANSERV

L23.A Are the following government services available in Spanish in your community ... Social services including public health clinics or hospitals?

Location: 1506-1506 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L23.A Are the following government services available in Spanish in your community ... Social services including public health clinics or hospitals?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Yes	6885	79.7 %	79.7%
2	No	1028	11.9 %	11.9%
3	DK	629	7.3 %	7.3%
4	REF/NA	92	1.1 %	1.1%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	4.00	1.30	1.00	0.65

SPANPOLE

L23.B Are the following government services available in Spanish in your community ... Police or law enforcement, Courts/legal representation?

Location: 1507-1507 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L23.B Are the following government services available in Spanish in your community ... Police or law enforcement, Courts/legal representation?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Yes	6015	69.7 %	69.7%
2	No	1462	16.9 %	16.9%
3	DK	1060	12.3 %	12.3%
4	REF/NA	97	1.1 %	1.1%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	1.45	1.00	0.75

SPANSCHL **L23.C Are the following government services available in Spanish in your community ... Information from public schools?**

Location: 1508-1508 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L23.C Are the following government services available in Spanish in your community ... Information from public schools?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	6739	78.1 %	78.1%
2	No	966	11.2 %	11.2%
3	DK	832	9.6 %	9.6%
4	REF/NA	97	1.1 %	1.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	1.34	1.00	0.70

SPLITSAM3 **FOR TESTING CHOOSE SPLIT SAMPLE A/B?**

Location: 1509-1509 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: FOR TESTING CHOOSE SPLIT SAMPLE A/B?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Sample A ASK Q24A	4303	49.8 %	49.8%
2	Sample B ASK Q24B	4331	50.2 %	50.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	0.50

ENVIRON **L24a. Looking around at conditions in your neighborhood, how impacted is your neighborhood today by pollution, toxic waste, landfills?**

Location: 1510-1510 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L24a. Looking around at conditions in your neighborhood, how impacted is your neighborhood today by pollution, toxic waste, landfills?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not impacted at all	1751	20.3 %	40.7%
2	Not very impacted	1096	12.7 %	25.5%
3	Somewhat impacted	850	9.8 %	19.8%
4	Impacted a lot	373	4.3 %	8.7%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
5	DK/ref	233	2.7 %	5.4%
. (M)	-	4331	50.2 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4303	4331	1.00	5.00	2.13	2.00	1.19

ENVIRACE

L24b. Do you believe that pollution, toxic waste etc. are less likely, more likely, or equally likely to be located in minority neighborhoods?

Location: 1511-1511 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L24b. Do you believe that pollution, toxic waste etc. are less likely, more likely, or equally likely to be located in minority neighborhoods?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	More likely	1550	18.0 %	36.0%
2	Equally likely	608	7.0 %	14.1%
3	Less likely	1217	14.1 %	28.3%
4	DK/Ref	928	10.7 %	21.6%
. (M)	-	4331	50.2 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4303	4331	1.00	4.00	2.35	2.00	1.18

IMMVIEW

L25. Which comes closer to your own views?

Location: 1512-1512 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: L25. Which comes closer to your own views?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Immigrants today strengthen our country because of their hard work and talents	7836	90.8 %	90.8%
2	Or immigrants today are a burden on our country because they take our jobs, housing, and health care.	798	9.2 %	9.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	2.00	1.09	1.00	0.29

IMMPOLICY

L26. What is your preferred policy on undocumented or illegal immigration? Should there be ... (READ LIST)

Location: 1513-1513 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question: L26. What is your preferred policy on undocumented or illegal immigration? Should there be ... (READ LIST)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Immediate legalization of current undocumented immigrants	3630	42.0 %	42.0%
2	A guest worker program leading to legalization eventually	2735	31.7 %	31.7%
3	A guest worker program that permits immigrants to be in t...	1009	11.7 %	11.7%
4	An effort to seal or close off the border to stop illegal...	421	4.9 %	4.9%
5	None of these	839	9.7 %	9.7%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.09	2.00	1.26

NMRELAT L27. Increasingly, New Mexican immigrants have settled in New Mexico. Are the relationships between native-born Hispanic (or Hispanos) and immigrants generally ... (READ LIST)

Location: 1514-1514 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: FOR RESIDENTS OF NEW MEXICO

L27. Increasingly, New Mexican immigrants have settled in New Mexico. Are the relationships between native-born Hispanic (or Hispanos) and immigrants generally ... (READ LIST)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Positive	140	1.6 %	35.0%
2	Negative	56	0.6 %	14.0%
3	Neither positive nor negative	97	1.1 %	24.2%
4	Both	107	1.2 %	26.8%
. (M)	-	8234	95.4 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
400	8234	1.00	4.00	2.43	3.00	1.22

AZSERVICE L28. Do you support limitations on undocumented immigrants' access to our state social service programs [for example adult education classes, in-state tuition and subsidized child care?]

Location: 1515-1515 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: FOR RESIDENTS OF ARIZONA

- Study 20862 -

L28. Do you support limitations on undocumented immigrants' access to our state social service programs [for example adult education classes, in-state tuition and subsidized child care?]

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly oppose	81	0.9 %	20.2%
2	Somewhat oppose	44	0.5 %	11.0%
3	Neither support or oppose	48	0.6 %	12.0%
4	Somewhat support	77	0.9 %	19.2%
5	Strongly support	150	1.7 %	37.5%
. (M)	-	8234	95.4 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
400	8234	1.00	5.00	3.43	4.00	1.56

AZENGON

L29. A proposed constitutional amendment to make English the official language for our State will be on the ballot in 2006. Is this something you ... (READ LIST)

Location: 1516-1516 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: L29. A proposed constitutional amendment to make English the official language for our State will be on the ballot in 2006. Is this something you ... (READ LIST)

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly oppose	130	1.5 %	32.5%
2	Somewhat oppose	64	0.7 %	16.0%
3	Neither support or oppose	57	0.7 %	14.2%
4	Somewhat support	54	0.6 %	13.5%
5	Strongly support	95	1.1 %	23.8%
. (M)	-	8234	95.4 %	-

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
400	8234	1.00	5.00	2.80	3.00	1.58

MSMINUTE

L30. Recently, several civilian groups opposed to the number of undocumented immigrants coming to the US, have sent people to patrol the border. Which one of the following comes closest to your views? Do you think these actions...

Location: 1517-1517 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: FOR RESIDENTS OF CALIFORNIA, WASHINGTON, NEW MEXICO, AND TEXAS AND ARIZONA
L30. Recently, several civilian groups opposed to the number of undocumented immigrants coming to the US, have sent people to patrol the border. Which one of the following comes closest to your views? Do you think these actions...

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Have improved border control	282	3.3 %	8.8%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	Have made little difference in border control	509	5.9 %	15.8%
3	Have created more hostility toward immigrants	809	9.4 %	25.1%
4	Have created more hostility toward all Latinos	892	10.3 %	27.7%
5	(DO NOT READ) No opinion	627	7.3 %	19.5%
6	(DO NOT READ) Do not care	99	1.1 %	3.1%
. (M)	-	5416	62.7 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
3218	5416	1.00	6.00	3.43	4.00	1.29

TRCONTCT

M1. How often do you have contact with friends and family in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1518-1518 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M1. How often do you have contact with friends and family in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Once a week or more	3644	42.2 %	42.2%
2	Once a month or more	2032	23.5 %	23.5%
3	Once every several months	1032	12.0 %	12.0%
4	Never	1780	20.6 %	20.6%
5	DK/NA	146	1.7 %	1.7%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.16	2.00	1.22

TRETURN

M2. Since coming to the US, how often have you returned to ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1519-1519 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M2. Since coming to the US, how often have you returned to ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	More than once a year	723	8.4 %	11.7%
2	Once a year	1201	13.9 %	19.4%
3	Once in the past three years	985	11.4 %	15.9%
4	Once in the past five years	451	5.2 %	7.3%
5	More than five years ago	814	9.4 %	13.2%
6	Never	1911	22.1 %	30.9%
7	DK/NA	99	1.1 %	1.6%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	7.00	3.90	4.00	1.87

- Study 20862 -

TRLIVE

M3. Have you ever returned to live (rather than just visit) there for a portion of time?

Location: 1520-1520 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M3. Have you ever returned to live (rather than just visit) there for a portion of time?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	639	7.4 %	10.3%
2	No	5398	62.5 %	87.3%
3	DK/NA	147	1.7 %	2.4%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	3.00	1.92	2.00	0.35

TREMAIN

M5. How long do you think you will remain in the US?

Location: 1521-1521 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M5. How long do you think you will remain in the US?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	Less than five years	483	5.6 %	7.8%
3	As long as I can	1734	20.1 %	28.0%
4	Until I retire	574	6.6 %	9.3%
5	All my life	2665	30.9 %	43.1%
6	Other	728	8.4 %	11.8%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	2.00	6.00	4.23	5.00	1.20

TROWNLD1

M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1522-1522 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes, Land	667	7.7 %	10.8%
2	Yes, house	1245	14.4 %	20.1%
3	Yes, business	45	0.5 %	0.7%
4	No	4118	47.7 %	66.6%
5	DK/NA	109	1.3 %	1.8%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	5.00	3.28	4.00	1.14

TROWNLD2 M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1523-1523 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes, Land	2	0.0 %	0.7%
2	Yes, house	247	2.9 %	86.4%
3	Yes, business	37	0.4 %	12.9%
4	No	0	0.0 %	-
5	DK/NA	0	0.0 %	-
. (M)	-	8348	96.7 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
286	8348	1.00	3.00	2.12	2.00	0.35

TROWNLD3 M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1524-1524 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M6. Are you the owner of land, a house or a business in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes, Land	1	0.0 %	2.9%
2	Yes, house	0	0.0 %	-
3	Yes, business	33	0.4 %	97.1%
4	No	0	0.0 %	-
5	DK/NA	0	0.0 %	-
. (M)	-	8600	99.6 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
34	8600	1.00	3.00	2.94	3.00	0.34

TRVISIT M7. How often do you visit ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1525-1525 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M7. How often do you visit ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	More than once a year	995	11.5 %	11.5%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
2	Once a year	1660	19.2 %	19.2%
3	Once in the past three years	1304	15.1 %	15.1%
4	Once in the past five years	596	6.9 %	6.9%
5	More than five years ago	1264	14.6 %	14.6%
6	Never	2632	30.5 %	30.5%
7	DK/NA	183	2.1 %	2.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	7.00	3.94	4.00	1.87

TRGOBACK

M8. Do you have plans to go back to ANSWERFROM(AQB4) to live permanently?

Location: 1526-1526 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M8. Do you have plans to go back to ANSWERFROM(AQB4) to live permanently?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	1801	20.9 %	20.9%
2	No	6022	69.7 %	69.7%
3	DK	659	7.6 %	7.6%
4	NA	152	1.8 %	1.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	1.90	2.00	0.59

TRMONEY

M9. How often do you send money to ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1527-1527 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M9. How often do you send money to ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	More than once a month	742	8.6 %	8.6%
2	Once a month	1638	19.0 %	19.0%
3	Once every few months	1000	11.6 %	11.6%
4	Once a year	554	6.4 %	6.4%
5	Less than once a year	250	2.9 %	2.9%
6	Never	4237	49.1 %	49.1%
7	DK/NA	213	2.5 %	2.5%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	7.00	4.33	6.00	1.94

- Study 20862 -

TRMONAMT

M10. What is the average amount you send each time? (RECORD EXACT DOLLAR AMOUNT OR BEST ESTIMATE) (DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE, REFUSED = 99999)

Location: 1528-1532 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M10. What is the average amount you send each time? (RECORD EXACT DOLLAR AMOUNT OR BEST ESTIMATE) (DO NOT ACCEPT A RANGE, REFUSED = 99999)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	6	0.1 %	0.1%
2	10	0.1 %	0.2%
3	2	0.0 %	0.0%
4	2	0.0 %	0.0%
5	5	0.1 %	0.1%
10	1	0.0 %	0.0%
12	1	0.0 %	0.0%
15	2	0.0 %	0.0%
20	13	0.2 %	0.3%
22	1	0.0 %	0.0%
25	7	0.1 %	0.2%
30	13	0.2 %	0.3%
40	15	0.2 %	0.3%
41	1	0.0 %	0.0%
44	1	0.0 %	0.0%
45	1	0.0 %	0.0%
50	143	1.7 %	3.3%
60	9	0.1 %	0.2%
70	4	0.0 %	0.1%
75	12	0.1 %	0.3%
80	9	0.1 %	0.2%
85	1	0.0 %	0.0%
99	7	0.1 %	0.2%
100	995	11.5 %	22.6%
111	1	0.0 %	0.0%
112	1	0.0 %	0.0%
120	4	0.0 %	0.1%
125	4	0.0 %	0.1%
140	1	0.0 %	0.0%
150	196	2.3 %	4.5%
160	1	0.0 %	0.0%
170	1	0.0 %	0.0%
180	1	0.0 %	0.0%
185	1	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
200	861	10.0 %	19.6%
202	1	0.0 %	0.0%
240	1	0.0 %	0.0%
250	58	0.7 %	1.3%
300	461	5.3 %	10.5%
350	20	0.2 %	0.5%
400	132	1.5 %	3.0%
450	5	0.1 %	0.1%
500	334	3.9 %	7.6%
550	2	0.0 %	0.0%
600	29	0.3 %	0.7%
700	8	0.1 %	0.2%
750	2	0.0 %	0.0%
800	34	0.4 %	0.8%
900	4	0.0 %	0.1%
920	1	0.0 %	0.0%
999	5	0.1 %	0.1%
1000	151	1.7 %	3.4%
1200	2	0.0 %	0.0%
1300	1	0.0 %	0.0%
1500	19	0.2 %	0.4%
1600	1	0.0 %	0.0%
2000	40	0.5 %	0.9%
2056	1	0.0 %	0.0%
2200	1	0.0 %	0.0%
2500	2	0.0 %	0.0%
3000	7	0.1 %	0.2%
3500	1	0.0 %	0.0%
4000	4	0.0 %	0.1%
4500	1	0.0 %	0.0%
5000	4	0.0 %	0.1%
5200	1	0.0 %	0.0%
7000	1	0.0 %	0.0%
8000	2	0.0 %	0.0%
9999	40	0.5 %	0.9%
10000	3	0.0 %	0.1%
15000	1	0.0 %	0.0%
20000	1	0.0 %	0.0%
88888	1	0.0 %	0.0%
99999	682	7.9 %	15.5%
. (M)	4237	49.1 %	-

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
4397	4237	1.00	99999.00	15892.71	200.00	36082.00

TRASSOC M11. Do you participate in the activities of a club, association or federation connected to the town or province your family came from in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1533-1533 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M11. Do you participate in the activities of a club, association or federation connected to the town or province your family came from in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	403	4.7 %	4.7%
2	No	8009	92.8 %	92.8%
3	DK/NA	222	2.6 %	2.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.98	2.00	0.27

TRATTPOL M12. How much attention would you say you pay to politics in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? Would you say you pay a lot of attention, some attention, a little attention, or none at all?

Location: 1534-1534 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M12. How much attention would you say you pay to politics in ANSWERFROM(AQB4)? Would you say you pay a lot of attention, some attention, a little attention, or none at all?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	A lot	1159	13.4 %	13.4%
2	Some	1887	21.9 %	21.9%
3	Little	1947	22.6 %	22.6%
4	None	3286	38.1 %	38.1%
5	DK/NA	355	4.1 %	4.1%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	5.00	2.98	3.00	1.14

TRVOTECO M13. Before coming to the US, did you ever vote in ANSWERFROM(AQB4) elections?

Location: 1535-1535 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: M13. Before coming to the US, did you ever vote in ANSWERFROM(AQB4) elections?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	2471	28.6 %	40.0%
2	No	3502	40.6 %	56.6%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
3	Don't know	75	0.9 %	1.2%
4	NA	136	1.6 %	2.2%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	4.00	1.66	2.00	0.62

TRVOTEUS

M14. Have you ever voted in ANSWERFROM(AQB4) elections since you've been in the US?

Location: 1536-1536 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M14. Have you ever voted in ANSWERFROM(AQB4) elections since you've been in the US?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	278	3.2 %	4.5%
2	No	5742	66.5 %	92.9%
3	Don't know	44	0.5 %	0.7%
4	NA	120	1.4 %	1.9%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	0.36

TRVOTEIUS

M15. Did you cast your vote from the US, or from ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

Location: 1537-1537 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M15. Did you cast your vote from the US, or from ANSWERFROM(AQB4)?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	From the U.S.	124	1.4 %	44.6%
2	In ANSWERFROM(AQB4)	154	1.8 %	55.4%
. (M)	-	8356	96.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
278	8356	1.00	2.00	1.55	2.00	0.50

TRDONATE

M16. Since coming to the U.S., have you contributed money to a candidate or party in your country of origin?

Location: 1538-1538 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M16. Since coming to the U.S., have you contributed money to a candidate or party in your country of origin?

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes	98	1.1 %	1.6%
2	No	5920	68.6 %	95.7%
3	DK/Ref	166	1.9 %	2.7%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	3.00	2.01	2.00	0.21

TRPOLPAR

M17. Before you came to the United States, how active were you in a political party, a political organization, or in any other type of organizations such as labor unions, student organizations or paramilitary organizations?

Location: 1539-1539 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M17. Before you came to the United States, how active were you in a political party, a political organization, or in any other type of organizations such as labor unions, student organizations or paramilitary organizations?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Never joined	3568	41.3 %	57.7%
2	Not active at all	1562	18.1 %	25.3%
3	A member but not active	128	1.5 %	2.1%
4	Somewhat active	438	5.1 %	7.1%
5	Very active	219	2.5 %	3.5%
6	REFUSED	269	3.1 %	4.3%
. (M)	-	2450	28.4 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
6184	2450	1.00	6.00	1.87	1.00	1.37

TRABLVTE

M19. Some people believe that it is appropriate (Answer to B4) living in the United States be able to cast their ballot in (Answer to B4) national elections from the United States? Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?

Location: 1540-1540 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: M19. Some people believe that it is appropriate (Answer to B4) living in the United States be able to cast their ballot in (Answer to B4) national elections from the United States? Would you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree, or haven't you thought much about that?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disagree	1244	14.4 %	14.4%
2	Somewhat Disagree	712	8.2 %	8.2%
3	DK/ haven't thought about that	2367	27.4 %	27.4%
4	Somewhat Agree	1578	18.3 %	18.3%

- Study 20862 -

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
5	Strongly Agree	2733	31.7 %	31.7%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.45	3.00	1.38

POORDISC N1.A For the following questions, please indicate how much you agree with each statement ... Poor people can get ahead in the United States if they work hard?

Location: 1541-1541 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: N1.A For the following questions, please indicate how much you agree with each statement ... Poor people can get ahead in the United States if they work hard?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Disagree	288	3.3 %	3.3%
2	Somewhat Disagree	287	3.3 %	3.3%
3	Somewhat Agree	1581	18.3 %	18.3%
4	Strongly Agree	6232	72.2 %	72.2%
5	DK	246	2.8 %	2.8%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.68	4.00	0.74

LATDISC N1.B For the following questions, please indicate how much you agree with each statement ... Latinos can get ahead in the United States if they work hard?

Location: 1542-1542 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: N1.B For the following questions, please indicate how much you agree with each statement ... Latinos can get ahead in the United States if they work hard?

Value	Label	Frequency	%	Valid %
1	Strongly Disagree	194	2.2 %	2.2%
2	Somewhat Disagree	205	2.4 %	2.4%
3	Somewhat Agree	1502	17.4 %	17.4%
4	Strongly Agree	6506	75.4 %	75.4%
5	DK	227	2.6 %	2.6%

Valid	Invalid	Min	Max	Mean	Median	Stdev
8634	0	1.00	5.00	3.74	4.00	0.65

DFIRED N2.A Have you ever ... been unfairly fired or denied a job or promotion?

Location: 1543-1543 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question:

N2.A Have you ever ... been unfairly fired or denied a job or promotion?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	YES	1418	16.4 %	16.4%
2	NO	7026	81.4 %	81.4%
3	DK/NA	190	2.2 %	2.2%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.86	2.00	0.41

DBADPOL

N2.B Have you ever ... been unfairly treated by the police?

Location:

1544-1544 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

N2.B Have you ever ... been unfairly treated by the police?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	YES	1209	14.0 %	14.0%
2	NO	7289	84.4 %	84.4%
3	DK/NA	136	1.6 %	1.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.88	2.00	0.37

DHOUSING

N2.C Have you ever ... been unfairly prevented from moving into a neighborhood (vecindario o barrio) because the landlord or a realtor refused to sell or rent you a house or apartment?

Location:

1545-1545 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

N2.C Have you ever ... been unfairly prevented from moving into a neighborhood (vecindario o barrio) because the landlord or a realtor refused to sell or rent you a house or apartment?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	YES	509	5.9 %	5.9%
2	NO	7973	92.3 %	92.3%
3	DK/NA	152	1.8 %	1.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.96	2.00	0.27

DRESTAUR

N2.D Have you ever ... been treated unfairly or badly at restaurants or stores?

Location:

1546-1546 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

N2.D Have you ever ... been treated unfairly or badly at restaurants or stores?

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	YES	1417	16.4 %	16.4%
2	NO	7067	81.9 %	81.9%
3	DK/NA	150	1.7 %	1.7%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	3.00	1.85	2.00	0.40

WHYDISC

N3. There are lots of possible reasons why people might be treated unfairly, what do you think was the main reason for your experience(s)? Would you say ... (READ LIST)

Location: 1547-1547 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: N3. There are lots of possible reasons why people might be treated unfairly, what do you think was the main reason for your experience(s)? Would you say ... (READ LIST)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Being Latino	856	9.9 %	29.8%
2	Being an immigrant	232	2.7 %	8.1%
3	Your national origin	220	2.5 %	7.7%
4	Your language or accent	378	4.4 %	13.2%
5	Your skin color	362	4.2 %	12.6%
6	Your gender	66	0.8 %	2.3%
7	Your age	105	1.2 %	3.7%
8	Other	438	5.1 %	15.3%
9	DK/NA	211	2.4 %	7.4%
. (M)	-	5766	66.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
2868	5766	1.00	9.00	4.13	4.00	2.81

RACEDISC

N4. In the most recent incident you experienced what was the race or ethnicity of the person(s) treating you unfairly?

Location: 1548-1548 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: N4. In the most recent incident you experienced what was the race or ethnicity of the person(s) treating you unfairly?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	White	1855	21.5 %	64.7%
2	Black	240	2.8 %	8.4%
3	Asian	96	1.1 %	3.3%
4	Latino	360	4.2 %	12.6%
5	DK/NA	317	3.7 %	11.1%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
. (M)	-	5766	66.8 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
2868	5766	1.00	5.00	1.97	1.00	1.48

FLDIPLO

N4b. Do you favor or oppose the U.S. re-establishing diplomatic relations with Cuba?

Location: 1549-1549 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

FOR RESIDENTS OF FLORIDA

N4b. Do you favor or oppose the U.S. re-establishing diplomatic relations with Cuba?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes, under present circumstances	63	0.7 %	15.0%
2	Yes, when Fidel is gone, but the regime persists?	45	0.5 %	10.7%
3	Yes, only after communism is gone	205	2.4 %	48.8%
4	No opinion	73	0.8 %	17.4%
5	Don't know	34	0.4 %	8.1%
. (M)	-	8214	95.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
420	8214	1.00	5.00	2.93	3.00	1.10

FLRETURN

N4c. What if Cuba changed to a democratic form of government. How likely is it that you would return to Cuba to live - would you say very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

Location: 1550-1550 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question:

N4c. What if Cuba changed to a democratic form of government. How likely is it that you would return to Cuba to live - would you say very likely, somewhat likely, not very likely, or not at all likely?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Not at all likely	240	2.8 %	57.1%
2	Not very likely	77	0.9 %	18.3%
3	Somewhat likely	53	0.6 %	12.6%
4	Very likely	50	0.6 %	11.9%
. (M)	-	8214	95.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
420	8214	1.00	4.00	1.79	1.00	1.06

- Study 20862 -

FLIMIT N4d. What do you think about recent federal policy changes that limit travel and remittances to family members in Cuba?

Location: 1551-1551 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: N4d. What do you think about recent federal policy changes that limit travel and remittances to family members in Cuba?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Strongly disagree	129	1.5 %	30.7%
2	Slightly disagree	50	0.6 %	11.9%
3	Neither agree or disagree	82	0.9 %	19.5%
4	Slightly agree	51	0.6 %	12.1%
5	Strongly agree	108	1.3 %	25.7%
. (M)	-	8214	95.1 %	-

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
420	8214	1.00	5.00	2.90	3.00	1.58

HHINC N5. Which of the following best describes the total income earned by all members of your household during 2004?

Location: 1552-1552 (width: 1; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: N5. Which of the following best describes the total income earned by all members of your household during 2004?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	BELOW \$15,000K	1277	14.8 %	14.8%
2	\$15,000-24,999	1525	17.7 %	17.7%
3	\$25,000-34,999	1186	13.7 %	13.7%
4	\$35,000-44,999	856	9.9 %	9.9%
5	\$45,000-54,999	561	6.5 %	6.5%
6	\$55,000-64,999	417	4.8 %	4.8%
7	ABOVE \$65,000	1011	11.7 %	11.7%
8	REFUSED	1801	20.9 %	20.9%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	8.00	4.41	4.00	2.58

CONTRINC N6. How many people work to contribute to this combined income? (RECORD EXACT NUMBER OF PEOPLE, REFUSED = 99)

Location: 1553-1554 (width: 2; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete

- Study 20862 -

Question:

N6. How many people work to contribute to this combined income? (RECORD EXACT NUMBER OF PEOPLE, REFUSED = 99)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	3164	36.6 %	36.6%
2	3670	42.5 %	42.5%
3	749	8.7 %	8.7%
4	305	3.5 %	3.5%
5	106	1.2 %	1.2%
6	35	0.4 %	0.4%
7	11	0.1 %	0.1%
8	8	0.1 %	0.1%
9	3	0.0 %	0.0%
10	3	0.0 %	0.0%
11	2	0.0 %	0.0%
12	2	0.0 %	0.0%
13	1	0.0 %	0.0%
15	1	0.0 %	0.0%
20	2	0.0 %	0.0%
99	572	6.6 %	6.6%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	99.00	8.30	2.00	24.18

SUPPINC

N7. How many people are currently supported by this combined income? (RECORD EXACT NUMBER OF PEOPLE, REFUSED = 99)

Location:

1555-1556 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type:

numeric (ISO)

Interval:

discrete

Question:

N7. How many people are currently supported by this combined income? (RECORD EXACT NUMBER OF PEOPLE, REFUSED = 99)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	979	11.3 %	11.3%
2	1974	22.9 %	22.9%
3	1586	18.4 %	18.4%
4	1685	19.5 %	19.5%
5	1074	12.4 %	12.4%
6	454	5.3 %	5.3%
7	137	1.6 %	1.6%
8	65	0.8 %	0.8%
9	33	0.4 %	0.4%
10	29	0.3 %	0.3%
11	3	0.0 %	0.0%
12	4	0.0 %	0.0%

- Study 20862 -

<i>Value</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
13	2	0.0 %	0.0%
14	1	0.0 %	0.0%
15	3	0.0 %	0.0%
16	1	0.0 %	0.0%
99	604	7.0 %	7.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	99.00	10.03	3.00	24.46

GOVTASST

N8. Do you receive now or have you ever received any kind of government assistance?

Location: 1557-1557 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: N8. Do you receive now or have you ever received any kind of government assistance?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Receive now	1107	12.8 %	12.8%
2	Received in the past	1496	17.3 %	17.3%
3	Never received	5702	66.0 %	66.0%
4	NA/DK	329	3.8 %	3.8%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	4.00	2.61	3.00	0.76

SWITCH

INTERVIEWER: At any point during the survey, did the Respondent switch the language in which they conducted the study?

Location: 1558-1558 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: INTERVIEWER: At any point during the survey, did the Respondent switch the language in which they conducted the study?

<i>Value</i>	<i>Label</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>Valid %</i>
1	Yes, switched	1464	17.0 %	17.0%
2	No, one language	7170	83.0 %	83.0%

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	1.00	2.00	1.83	2.00	0.38

LOI2

(HOLDS LENGTH OF INTERVIEW - IN MINUTES)

Location: 1559-1566 (width: 8; decimal: 2)

Variable Type: numeric (ISO)

Interval: discrete

Question: (HOLDS LENGTH OF INTERVIEW - IN MINUTES)

- Study 20862 -

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8632	2	12.00	142.00	40.62	39.00	11.42

DOI (HOLDS DATE OF INTERVIEW, MMDDYY)

Location: 1567-1572 (width: 6; decimal: 0)
 Variable Type: character (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: (HOLDS DATE OF INTERVIEW, MMDDYY)

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

WT_METRO Metro-Level Weight

Location: 1573-1583 (width: 11; decimal: 6)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: Metro-Level Weight

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	0.518509	9.201460	1.142977	-	0.567398

WT_STATE State-Level Weight

Location: 1584-1594 (width: 11; decimal: 6)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: State-Level Weight

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8230	404	0.530620	19.008835	1.155453	-	0.643131

WT_NATION Nation-Level Weight

Location: 1595-1605 (width: 11; decimal: 6)
 Variable Type: numeric (ISO)
 Interval: discrete
 Question: Nation-Level Weight

<i>Valid</i>	<i>Invalid</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Median</i>	<i>Stdev</i>
8634	0	0.607729	7.467005	1.159299	-	0.577592

Latino National Survey

Co-Principal Investigators

Luis R. Fraga, University of Washington
John A. Garcia, University of Arizona
Rodney Hero, University of Notre Dame
Michael Jones-Correa, Cornell University
Valerie Martinez-Ebers, Texas Christian University
Gary M. Segura, University of Washington

FUNDING:

The principal investigators gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the project offered by the following:

Ford Foundation
Russell Sage Foundation
National Science Foundation
Irvine Foundation
Hewlett Foundation
Carnegie Corporation
Joyce Foundation
W.K. Kellogg Foundation
Texas A&M University
Annie E. Casey Foundation, under the auspices of the
Inter-University Program for Latino Research

Data collection, analysis and interpretation are solely the responsibility of the authors.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES: Principal Investigators

LUIS RICARDO FRAGA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Luis Ricardo Fraga is Professor in the Department of Political Science and Associate Vice Provost for Faculty Advancement at the University of Washington. His research interests are in urban politics, politics of race and ethnicity, educational policy, and voting rights. He is co-author of *Ethnic Moments: The Politics of Urban Education Reform* (Temple University Press 2006). He was a member of the APSA Standing Committee on Civic Engagement and Education that co-authored *Democracy at Risk: How Political Choices Undermine Citizen Participation, and What We Can Do About It* (Brookings Institution Press 2005). Among other recent publications are the co-authored "Culture Clash: Contesting Notions of American Identity and the Effects of Latin American Immigration" *Perspectives on Politics* (2006) and "Playing the „Latino Card": Race, Ethnicity, and National Party Politics" *Du Bois Review* (2004). He has also published in the *Journal of Politics*, *Urban Affairs Quarterly*, *Western Political Quarterly*, *West European Politics*, the *Journal of State Government*, as well as many edited volumes. He is co-editor of the volume entitled *Ethnic and Racial Minorities in Advanced Industrial Democracies* (Greenwood Press 1992). He is currently completing two book manuscripts: *The Changing Urban Regime: Toward an Informed Public Interest*, analyzing changing politics and policy-making in San Antonio from 1836 to 2006; and *Missed Opportunities: The Politics of Schools in San Francisco*, looking at the implementation of that city's desegregation consent decree from 1983-2005. Professor Fraga also co-edits a book series entitled *Race, Ethnicity and Politics* with the University of Virginia Press. With the other members of the LNS team he is co-author of "Su Casa Es Nuestra Casa: Latino Politics Research and the Development of American Political Science," *American Political Science Review* (forthcoming 2007). Professor Fraga brings to the project an extensive background in ethnic and racial politics and policy, with special emphases in education and voting rights.

JOHN A. GARCIA UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

John A. Garcia is Professor of Political Science at the University of Arizona and has been at the University since 1972. His primary areas of research have been in the area American politics-- minority group politics, especially Latinos, and urban governments, survey research, and public policy. Professor Garcia was one of the co-principal investigators on the original National Chicano Survey in 1979, and also one of the four principal investigators on the Latino National Political Survey in 1989-90. He has extensive expertise on Latino demographic change and its political effects, and the methodological issues of both sample-design and census-taking among Latinos. His work bridges basic and applied research as evident by his participation on the decennial Census advisory committee, ICPSR executive council, and the NSF Social and Behavioral and Economic Science Directorate Advisory committee. His research has focused on issues of

political mobilization, political participation, and policy implementation and formation. He was co-author of *Latino Voices: Perspectives of Cubans, Mexican Americans, and Puerto Ricans* (Westview Press, 1992), and a chapter, "Expanding Disciplinary Boundaries: Black, Latino, and Racial Minority Group Politics in Political Science" in *The State of the Discipline II* (1993). He has authored: *Latino Politics: Community Formation and Political Empowerment* (Rowman and Littlefield, 2003).

RODNEY HERO

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

Rodney E. Hero is the Packey J. Dee Professor of American Democracy in the Department of Political Science at the University of Notre Dame. His research focuses on U.S. Democracy and Politics, especially as viewed through the analytical lenses of Latino and Ethnic/Minority Politics, State/Urban Politics, and Federalism. He has published a number of research articles on these topics in scholarly journals. His book, *Latinos and the U.S. Political System: Two-tiered Pluralism*, received the American Political Science Association's [APSA] 1993 Ralph J. Bunche Award. He also authored *Faces of Inequality: Social Diversity in American Politics*, which was selected for the APSA's Woodrow Wilson Award in 1999. He is also co-author of *MultiEthnic Moments: The Politics of Urban Education Reform* (2006) and author of *Racial Diversity and Social Capital: Equality and Community in America* (2007). He has served on the editorial boards of several prominent political science journals. He is President of the Midwest Political Science Association for 2007-08, and he has served as a Vice President of the American Political Science Association (2003-04) as well as President of the Western Political Science Association (1999-2000).

MICHAEL JONES-CORREA

CORNELL UNIVERSITY

Michael Jones-Correa is Professor of Government at Cornell University, having received his PhD in Politics at Princeton University in 1994 and taught at Harvard as an Assistant and Associate Professor of Government from 1994 to 2001. His research interests include immigrant politics and immigration policy, minority politics and inter-ethnic relations in the United States, and urban and suburban politics. He is the author of *Between Two Nations: The Political Predicament of Latinos in New York City* (Cornell, 1998), and the editor of *Governing American Cities: Inter-Ethnic Coalitions, Competition and Conflict* (Russell Sage Foundation, 2001). Jones-Correa has also written more than two dozen articles and book chapters on, among other things, barriers to participation, the diffusion of racial restrictive covenants, religion and political participation, Latino identity and politics, the role of gender in shaping immigrant politics, dual nationality, immigrant naturalization and voting, and Hispanics as a foreign policy lobby. He is currently completing a book on the increasing ethnic diversity of suburbs, and its implication for local and national politics in the United States, as well as a project on the re-negotiation of ethnic relations in the aftermath of civil disturbances in New York, Los Angeles, Miami, and Washington D.C. and Professor Jones-Correa brings to this project considerable substantive knowledge of emerging Latino communities of South American and Caribbean origin.

VALERIE MARTINEZ-EBERS
TEXAS CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY

Valerie Martinez-Ebers is Associate Professor of Political Science at Texas Christian University and a Vice President of the American Political Science Association, the 2006 president of the Western Political Science Association and past co-president of the Race, Ethnicity and Politics Section of the American Political Science Association, Martinez teaches courses in race, ethnicity and politics, Latino politics, public policy, research methods, and civil liberties and political tolerance. Most of her publications are on the consequences of education policy for minority students but she also has publications on Latino politics, methods of survey research and aging policy. She received her Ph.D. in Public Policy at the Ohio State University in 1990, and taught at the University of North Texas before joining the faculty at TCU. Her forthcoming book with UT Press is entitled, *Politiclas: Latina Trailblazers in the Texas Political Arena*. Her most recent publication, entitled "Gender and Ethnicity: Patterns of Representation and Advocacy Among Latina and Latino Legislators," (2007) is in the *Journal of Women, Politics & Policy*. Other recent publications include "Su Casa Es Nuestra Casa: Latino Politics Research and the Development of American Political Science," (2006) in the *American Political Science Review*, "The Politics of Latino Education: The Biases of At-Large Elections" (2004) in the *Journal of Politics*, and "Civic Socialization in Public and Fundamentalist Schools" (2004) in *Social Science Quarterly*.

GARY M. SEGURA
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Gary M. Segura is a Professor of American Politics at the University of Washington. His work focuses on issues of political representation, specifically on minority politics and the public opinion effects of war casualties and divided government. Among his most recent publications are "The Mobilizing Effect of Majority-Minority Districts on Latino Turnout" in the *American Political Science Review* (2004), "War Casualties, Policy Positions, and the Fate of Legislators" in *Political Research Quarterly* (2004), "Earth Quakes and After Shocks: Race, Direct Democracy, and Partisan Change," in the *American Journal of Political Science* (2006), "Culture Clash? Contesting Notions of American Identity and the Effects of Latin American Immigration," in *Perspectives on Politics* (2006) and "Explaining the Latino Vote: Issue Voting among Latinos in the 2000 Presidential Election," in the *Political Research Quarterly* (2006). Earlier research has appeared in the *Journal of Politics*, *Political Behavior*, *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, *Social Science Quarterly*, the *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, and other outlets and his work has, on five occasions, been funded by the National Science Foundation. Segura will be the co-Principal Investigator of the "Spanish Translation and Latino Over-sample in the 2008 American National Election Study." In 2006, he was the General Program Chair of the Annual Meeting of the Midwest Political Science Association, and was Vice President of the Midwest in 2006-7. He presently serves on the Executive Council of the Western Political Science Association and is a former Council member for both the Midwest and the American Political Science Associations.

Contact List: Principal Investigators

Luis R. Fraga, Professor
Associate Vice Provost,
Director, Diversity Research Institute
Russell F Stark University Professor,
Department of Political Science
Gerberding 340D, Box 351237
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
206.685.4831
lrfraga@u.washington.edu

John Garcia, Professor
Department of Political Science
University of Arizona
315 Social Sciences Building
P.O. Box 210027
Tucson, AZ. 85721
520-621-7095
jag@email.arizona.edu

Rodney Hero, Professor
Department of Political Science
Phone: 574-631-7281
Fax: 574-631-8209
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana 46556-5644 USA
rhero@nd.edu

Michael Jones-Correa, Professor
Department of Government
Cornell University
McGraw Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853-4601
Phone: (607) 255-3170
Fax: (607) 255-4530
mj64@cornell.edu

Valerie Martinez-Ebers,
Associate Professor
Department of Political Science
Texas Christian University
P.O. Box 297021
Fort Worth, Texas 76129
Phone: (817) 257-6403
Fax: (817) 257-7397
V.Martinez@tcu.edu

Gary M. Segura, Professor
Department of Political Science
University of Washington
Box 353530
Seattle, WA 98195
Phone: (206) 543-2945
Fax: (206) 685-2146
gmsegura@u.washington.edu

NATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD

Lawrence Bobo is the Norman Tishman and Charles M. Diker Professor of Sociology and Afro-American Studies at Harvard University. He is co-author of *Racial Attitudes in America: Trends and Interpretations* (Harvard University Press, 1997) and was the principal investigator of the four-city Urban Inequality Study in 1994.

Nancy Burns is a Professor of Political Science at the University of Michigan. She is a nationally recognized expert on survey research and a principal investigator of the American National Election Studies. She is the author of *Formation of Local Governments* (Oxford University Press, 1997).

Bruce Cain is a Professor of Political Science and Director of the Institute of Governmental Affairs at the University of California, Berkeley. He is among the top experts on political demography and legislative redistricting, and has written extensively on the political incorporation of Latinos in California.

Robert Huckfeldt is a Professor of Political Science at the University of California, Davis. He is broadly recognized as the leading figure in the study of social networks, socio-political context, and political behavior, and was among the principal investigators of the path-breaking South Bend Study.

Pei-te Lien is an Associate Professor of Political Science and Ethnic Studies at the University of Utah. She was the principal investigator of the Pilot Asian-American National Political Survey, and is an award-winning author of studies on both the Asian-American experience in the United States as well as comparative analyses of Asian, African-American, and Latino political behavior.

Kenneth Meier is a Professor of Political Science and holds the Charles H. Gregory Chair in Liberal Arts at Texas A&M University. He also directs the Texas Educational Excellence Project and the Carlos Cantu Hispanic Education and Opportunity Endowment. He is a nationally recognized figure in the field of theory of public policy and specifically education policy. His current work focuses on the politics of Latino and African American education in 1800 school districts throughout the United States. Prof. Meier is also the Past President of the Midwest Political Science Association, and former editor of the *American Journal of Political Science*.

Vilma Ortiz is a Professor of Sociology at the University of California, Los Angeles. She is an expert on Puerto Rican migration and the lives of Latinas in contemporary America. She is currently engaged in a path-breaking follow-up study of 750 Mexican-Americans in Los Angeles first interviewed almost 30 years ago.

Lisandro Perez is a professor of Sociology and Anthropology at Florida International University, where he founded the Cuban Research Institute. He currently directs the Center for International Migration Initiatives within the Center for Transnational and Comparative Studies at FIU. Professor Perez is a noted expert on the inter-generational change and assimilation among children of immigrants. He is the editor of the journal *Cuban Studies*, and has a forthcoming book, entitled *The Legacy of Exile: Cubans in the United States*.

Kenneth Prewitt is Carnegie Professor of Public Affairs, in the School of International and Public Affairs at Columbia University. Dr. Prewitt was Director of the United States Census Bureau from 1998 to 2001. He previously has served as Dean of the Graduate Faculty of Political and Social Science at New School University, President of the Social Science Research Council, Senior Vice President of the Rockefeller Foundation, and Director of the National Opinion Research Center, at the University of Chicago.

Ricardo Ramirez is an Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Southern California and a Post-Doctoral Research Fellow at the Public Policy Institute of California. His work on Latino electoral mobilization has appeared in the *Political Research Quarterly*, and he has a book length project under development on the trajectories of political participation among newly registered Latino voters.

Denise Segura is a Professor of Sociology and the former director of the Center for Chicano Studies at the University of California, Santa Barbara. She is the author of several pieces of widely read work on Latina immigration and Mexican-American labor force participation.

Christine Sierra is a Professor of Political Science at the University of New Mexico. She is a widely published expert on the political and social incorporation of women of color and the evolution of contemporary Chicano political culture. She is the co-principal investigator of a Ford Foundation funded project on "Gender and Multicultural Leadership."

Carlos Vargas Ramos is a Research Associate of Centro de Estudios Puertorriquenos at Hunter College, City University of New York. As their principal researcher on matters of public policy, he has focused on the role of social service organizations in providing service to Latinos in the city. His research interests include the dynamics of circular migration between the island and mainland, and the effects this migration has on political participation at both ends of the journey, as well as patterns of racial and ethnic identity among Puerto Ricans living on the mainland.

Survey Description and Methodology

The Latino National Survey contains 8634 completed interviews (unweighted) of self-identified Latino/Hispanic residents of the United States. Interviewing began on November 17, 2005, and continued through August 4, 2006.¹ The survey instrument contained approximately 165 distinct items ranging from demographic descriptions to political attitudes and policy preferences, as well as a variety of social indicators and experiences, and resulted in a mean interview length of 40.6 minutes. The survey was conducted using computer-assisted telephone interviewing software by Interviewing Service of America, headquartered in Van Nuys, California. All interviewers were bilingual, English and Spanish. Respondents were greeted in both languages and immediately offered the opportunity to interview in either and provided a consent script to allow them to opt-out of the survey, should they choose. Respondents were selected from a random sample of Latino households in the jurisdictions covered. The sample was drawn by Geoscape International, a research and sampling firm in Miami, FL, with particular expertise in identifying Hispanic households. The sample was drawn from a household database of approximately 11 million households in the United States that are identified as Latino or Hispanic. The Latino National Survey covers 15 states and the District of Columbia metropolitan area (including counties and municipalities in Virginia and Maryland). The universe of analysis contains approximately 87.5% of the US Hispanic population. States were selected based, first, on the overall size of the Latino/Hispanic population. In addition, four states, Arkansas, Georgia, Iowa, and North Carolina, were added in an attempt to capture the evolving nature of emerging populations in states without lengthy histories of large Latino populations. Both Georgia and North Carolina, however, rank 12th and 14th, respectively, in terms of Latino population size and would have been included on that basis alone. The sample is stratified by geographic designation, meaning that each state sample is a valid, stand-alone representation of that state's Latino population. State sample sizes vary based in part on funders' recommendations, but all national figures reported are appropriately weighted such that the numbers are accurately representative of the universe covered by the study. The national margin of error is approximately $\pm 1.05\%$. The smallest sample size for any unit was 400, yielding a margin of error of less than $\pm 5\%$ for each state. Table 1 reports each state, its Latino population, and the number of complete interviews.

A number of states were stratified internally, a description of which is offered in Table 2. In each case but California, internal strata were represented proportionately in the final sample, and imposed solely to ensure that lower density regions were in the final sample. In California, additional strata were imposed in a non-proportional fashion, owing in part to the larger sample size, to allow greater between-region comparisons. All state-level results are computed using state-level weights such that they remain representative of the state population.

¹ A hiatus in the interviewing occurred from 12/15-1/10 to account for the large number of potential respondents in the sample who were likely to be unavailable in that period. Completed interviews in that time-frame represent only call-backs of interviews begun on an earlier date.

Table 1: States and Regions Sampled in the Latino National Survey

State	Latino Population 2000	Share of US Latinos	Cumulative Share Sampled in Study	Completed Interviews
California	10,966,556	31.06%	31.06%	1204
Texas	6,669,666	18.9	49.96	811
New York	2,867,583	8.12	58.08	800
Florida	2,682,715	7.60	65.68	800
Illinois	1,530,262	4.33	70.01	600
Arizona	1,295,617	3.67	73.68	400
New Jersey	1,117,191	3.16	76.84	403
New Mexico	765,386	2.11	78.95	400
Colorado	735,601	2.08	81.03	404
Washington	441,509	1.25	82.28	403
Washington DC	432,003	1.22	83.50	404
PSA				
Georgia	435,227	1.23	84.73	400
Nevada	393,970	1.12	85.85	403
North Carolina	378,963	1.07	86.92	401
Arkansas	86,666	.25	87.17	401
Iowa	82,473	.23	87.40	400

Table 2: Geographic Sample Strata within States

Jurisdiction	Geographic Needs	County
Arizona	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
Arkansas	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
California	Los Angeles Metro	Los Angeles, Orange, Ventura
	Inland Empire	Riverside County (western part), San Bernardino County (southwestern part)
	Metro San Diego	San Diego County
	Central Valley	Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, San Joaquin County, Stanislaus, Tulare
	San Francisco Metro	Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara
Colorado	Denver Metro	Adams, Arapahoe, Boulder, Broomfield, Denver, Douglas, Jefferson
	Other Colorado	Remaining counties in state
District of Columbia	Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV Metropolitan Statistical Area	District of Columbia, Calvert County, Charles County, Frederick County, Montgomery County, Prince George's County, Arlington County, Clarke County, Fairfax County, Fauquier County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, Spotsylvania County, Stafford County, Warren County, Alexandria city, Fairfax city, Falls Church city, Fredericksburg city, Manassas city, Manassas Park city, Jefferson County
Florida	Miami Metro	Broward, Miami-Dade- Palm Beach
	Orlando Metro	Orange, Osceola, Seminole
	Tampa Metro	Hillsborough, Pinellas
Georgia	Atlanta Metro	Cherokee, Clayton, Cobb, DeKalb, Douglas, Fayette, Fulton, Gwinnett
	Other Georgia	Remaining counties in state
Illinois	Chicago Metro	Cook, DuPage, Grundy, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, Will
	Other Illinois	Remaining counties in state
Iowa	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
Nevada	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
New Jersey	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
New Mexico	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
New York	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
North Carolina	<i>Complete state</i>	<i>All counties</i>
Texas	Dallas-Forth Worth	Collin, Dallas, Denton, Tarrant
	Houston Metro	Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery
	San Antonio Metro	Bexar, Comal, Guadalupe
	Rio Grande Valley	Cameron, Dimmit, Hidalgo, Kinney, Maverick, Starr, Val Verde, Webb, Zapata
Washington	Seattle Metro	King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish
	Yakima Valley	Yakima County
	Other Washington	Remaining counties in state

ICPSR APPENDIX FOR # 20862
Latino National Survey, 2006

- 1) Appendix I: Forced Choice Items Explanation
- 2) Appendix II: Weighting Documentation

The Latino National Survey, 2005-2006

A Cautionary Tale: Forced Choice Items

For users of the Latino National Survey (LNS), the richness of this study's substantive breadth of concepts, attitudes, behavior, knowledge based items, and demographic information affords a researcher considerable opportunities to test and analyze key relationships and socio-political phenomena regarding Latino populations in the United States. The team of principal investigators (PI's) utilized their collective research experiences and insights to construct a survey instrument that covered both the salient aspects associated with Latinos, politics, and contextual factors, as well as structuring the specific survey items in a cogent and appropriate manner. In the case of the latter, this deals with the appropriateness of the survey item for the entire sample, or a targeted sub-set, as well as soliciting responses that reflect the individual's knowledge and inclination to respond truthfully. In the design of the instruments, the PI's worked to ensure the clearest wording of every item, as well as the most suitable set of response categories for each item. There is an overwhelming preponderance of closed ended items which facilitate coding and analysis. In addition, provisions were included to allow the respondent to indicate whether the item was appropriate to their situation; if he/she felt competent and/or willing to provide a response; if a "don't know" reflected the most appropriate response; and to allow the person to refuse to give a response if that was their disposition.

By design, the LNS final instrument included a "choice principle" for each of the items such that the respondent would be able to indicate a "don't know" response, or refuse to answer the question if such an answer was the most appropriate. In addition, the survey protocol allowed the interviewer to indicate if the item was not applicable to the particular respondent. For example, if the screener called for only citizens to respond, and if the respondent was a non-citizen then a non-applicable response would be noted. Consistent with the survey instrument developed by the LNS PI's, the survey firm set up the Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) protocol and sequencing. The LNS

PI's were sent the CATI set-up for a final review and, subsequent to this review, the final version of the CATI was approved for use and implemented in the field.

Some time after receipt of the data files and during the course of reviewing the data files, it became evident to the PI's that some of the items did not have a full range of appropriate response categories. That is, for some items, the availability of both a "don't know" option and refusal was not present. In essence, a number of items represented a "forced choice" set of responses. A "forced choice" occurs when the response set does not allow respondents to opt out of the provided response categories, forcing them to choose a response other than "don't know" or denying them the opportunity to refuse to reply to the survey item. While "forced choice" items can often be found in social surveys, and may serve as an intended line of inquiry, this was not the intention of the PI's for the LNS. The exclusion of "don't know" responses and item refusals resulted from "slippage" between the PI's and the survey firm despite consistent reviews of all items at all stages of its development and implementation.

Thus, the purpose of this cautionary tale is to inform and alert LNS users of the inclusion of "forced choice" items. Analytically, the presence of "forced choice" response sets raises issues of interpretation and analytical treatment of the variable/item. For items testing factual knowledge, respondents may not know, may guess, or may be steered by the interviewer when he/she would prefer to respond as not knowing or refusing to answer. For attitudinal items as well as behavioral queries, non-attitudes and/or a respondent's inclination to provide a socially acceptable response or a convenient, non-truthful response may be a more likely answer given a "forced choice" format.

There may be some advantages from the presence of a "forced choice" such as gleaning a response from respondents who due to inattention, survey fatigue, or non-attitudes may not express one. However, forcing an attitude from a respondent who does not have any knowledge of the attitude object or does not relate to the scale can confound unawareness and indifference creating the presence of a biased response and/or false

response (in this case, the response is outside the basis of the concept or knowledge relevance intended in the survey item). Generally, the confounding is understood to occur at “the scale’s mid-point so that unbiased attitudes would result if the respondent checking the mid-point was removed before analysis” (Hughes, 1969). That is, forced choice items tend to inflate responses at the center of the distribution of response possibilities. While these circumstances raise real challenges in utilization of the response set for these items, it can also offer some opportunities for researchers to explore and apply techniques to analyze the forced choice response sets. “Forced choice” items can have the advantage of easier data processing and increased reliability of measures because of fewer missing values.

In some instances, the items in which choice is “forced” are factual, requiring respondents to provide information that they reasonably should have at their disposal. In those circumstances, the forced nature of the item likely reduced a missing data problem with little impact on the resulting distributions. For example, questions regarding place of birth or the frequency with which one watches television news are items to which nearly all respondents may easily provide an accurate response. The distributional effects of forced choice are relevant, then, most specifically to attitudinal responses.

As researchers who have been and will be users of the LNS data file, we have identified a body of research literature that addresses measurement and analytical issues related to “forced choice.” The remainder of this cautionary tale includes two other pieces of information. The first is a detailed listing of the survey items in which there is an absence of both a “don’t know” and “refusal” response category. While some other items may have omitted one of these two options, the presence of the other option served to provide the respondent with a response alternative and, hence, is not genuinely forced responses. The affected items are not randomly distributed throughout the instrument, but rather fall into clusters within the sections of the survey. The question number corresponding to the codebook, as well as the variable name is identified. Second, we have included a working bibliography of social science survey research that focuses upon

this situation in surveys. The articles cover the theoretical and methodological issues involved with variations of “forced choice” and offer approaches to deal with them.

This brief narrative can serve to alert researchers that using the identified variables/items will require additional considerations and adjustments due to the “forced choice” nature of the response sets.

Report on the category responses available to respondents.

Summary: The variables below are those in which both the “dk/na” or “refused” response categories were omitted. Survey items not detailed on this list can be assumed to include some version of either or both of the “dk/na” and “refused” responses in the distribution of responses given and to be present on the CATI programming. Items that are essentially asking respondents for facts usually at their command are noted with an asterisk and are generally assumed to be less likely to reflect distributional effects of forced-choice measures. Please note that the question B9 appears in the data set twice, once with refusals missing and once with the refusals coded 9999.

Question S5	<i>(mnlcatid)</i>		Many Hispanics in New Mexico refer to themselves as New Mexicans, Hispanics, etc. No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question A1	<i>(watchnew)</i>	*	How frequently would you say you watch television news? Would it be daily, most days, once or twice a week...? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question A2	<i>(readpapr)</i>	*	How frequently would you say you read a daily newspaper? Would it be daily, most days, once or twice a week...? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question A5	<i>(internet)</i>	*	Do you have regular internet access at home, somewhere else, or not at all? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question B5	<i>(bornus)</i>	*	Were you born in the mainland United States, Puerto Rico or some other country? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question B6	<i>(birthplc)</i>	*	Where were you born? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing from this question and follow up to “other country” responses on CATI script.
Question B8	<i>(whyus)</i>		What would you say is the main reason you came to live in the United States? “Dk/na” and “refuse” responses not offered on CATI, but some respondents specified “DK”, “won’t say”, or “refused” in follow up (whyus2) to giving “other” as the initial response.
Question B10	<i>(natuscit)</i>	*	Are you a naturalized American citizen? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question C4	<i>(keepspan)</i>		How important do you think it is for you or your family to maintain ability to speak Spanish? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing

			on CATI script.
Question C5	<i>(learneng)</i>		How important do you think it is that everyone in the United States learn English? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question D7	<i>(marital)</i>	*	What is your current marital status? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question D10	<i>(kidous)</i>	*	Do you have children under 18 that you assist financially living in another country? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question D12	<i>(reduc)</i>	*	What is your highest level of formal education completed? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question D13	<i>(higheduc)</i>	*	Where did you complete your highest level of education? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E1	<i>(gradsch)</i>		What grade would you give your community’s public schools? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E2	<i>(kidsscho)</i>	*	Did you have children enrolled in elementary or secondary/high school last year? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E3	<i>(pubpriv)</i>	*	Is this child enrolled in public or private school? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E4	<i>(schgend)</i>	*	Is this child a boy or a girl? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E5	<i>(gradekid)</i>	*	During the school year that just ended, what grade was your child in? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E6	<i>(kidsapir)</i>		How far would you like to see this child go in school? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E8	<i>(schlrate)</i>		When you have had contact with school officials, would you say your expectations ... No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E9	<i>(spanprog)</i>		Was there a specialized program for teaching English to Spanish-speaking...? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E11	<i>(expect)</i>		How far do you think your child will actually go in school? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given,

			both missing on CATI script.
Question E17	<i>(religion)</i>		Stop me when I get to the correct one. With what religious tradition do you most closely identify? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question E20	<i>(military)</i>	*	Have you or any close member of your family ever served in the military? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question F2	<i>(whycitz)</i>		What would you say is the main reason you chose to become a US citizen? A few “dk/na” responses given in follow up to initial “other” response, “dk/na” and “refused” both missing on CATI script.
Question F4	<i>(whyuscit)</i>		What would you say is the main reason for becoming a citizen of the US? A few “dk/na” and “refused” responses given in follow up to initial “other” response, “dk/na” and “refused” both missing on CATI script.
Question G3	<i>(aafate)</i>		How much does Latinos doing well depend on African Americans doing well? A lot, some, a little or nothing at all? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question G4A	<i>(aajobco)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with African Americans for jobs? Strong, weak, or no competition? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question G4B	<i>(aaeduco)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with African Americans for education? Strong, weak, or no competition? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question G4C	<i>(aagovco)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with African Americans for jobs with government? Strong, weak, or no competition? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question G4d	<i>(puboff)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with African Americans for Latino representatives in public office? Strong, weak, or no competition? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question J2	<i>(strdpart)</i>		Would you consider a strong Dem/Rep? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K2b	<i>(colrep)</i>		In 2004, Ken Salazar and John Salazar were elected to the Senate and House from Colorado. What effect does their election have on the representation of Latinos? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given,

			both missing on CATI script.
Question K2A	<i>(preflat)</i>		How important is it to you that a candidate is Latino? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K2B	<i>(prefspan)</i>		How important is it to you that a candidate speaks Spanish? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K2C	<i>(prefissu)</i>		How important is it to you that a candidate shares your positions on issues? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K4	<i>(govtrust)</i>		How much of the time do you trust the government to do what is right? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K7	<i>(bushpolc)</i>		I am going to read you a list of characteristics about President Bush. From the list which is the most important reasons why you view him favorably? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K12	<i>(empstat)</i>	*	What is your employment status? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K18a1	<i>(camove)</i>		Over the past year, have you considered or taken steps to move out of CA? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K18a2	<i>(cadestin)</i>		What states have you considered moving to? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question K18a3	<i>(cawhymv)</i>		What is the primary reason that you are considering moving? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question Kk19b	<i>(immgstat)</i>	*	Which state was that? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L7a	<i>(lajocomm)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with other Latinos. In getting jobs? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L7b	<i>(laedcomm)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with other Latinos. Having access to education and quality schools? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L7c	<i>(lagocomm)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with other Latinos. Getting jobs with the city or state government? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L7d	<i>(laofcomm)</i>		Some have suggested that Latinos are in competition with other Latinos. Having Latinos representatives

		in elected offices? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L12A	<i>(amerborn)</i>	When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is important to.....Have been born in the United States? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L12B	<i>(amerengl)</i>	When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is important to.....To speak English well? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L12C	<i>(amerwhit)</i>	When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is important to.....To be white? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L12D	<i>(amerchrt)</i>	When you think of what it means to be fully American in the eyes of most Americans, do you think it is important to.....To be Christian? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L21	<i>(samesex)</i>	What is your view about same-sex couples? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script, but CATI did offer “no opinion” category.
Question L22	<i>(abortion)</i>	Do you think abortion should be...? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script, but CATI did offer “unsure” category.
Question L25	<i>(immview)</i>	Which comes closer to your own views about immigrants? Strengthen country or burden country? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L26	<i>(immpolice)</i>	What is your preferred policy on undocumented or illegal immigration? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script, but CATI did offer “none of these” category.
Question L27	<i>(nmrelat)</i>	Increasingly, new Mexican immigrants have settled in New Mexico. Are the relationships between native-born Hispanic (or Hispanos) and immigrants generally...? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question L28	<i>(azservice)</i>	Do you support limitation on undocumented immigrants’ access to our state social service programs? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script, but CATI did offer

			“Neither support or oppose”.
Question L29	<i>(azengon)</i>		A proposed constitutional amendment to make English the official language for our state will be on the ballot in 2006. No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script, but CATI did offer “Neither support or oppose”.
Question M5	<i>(tremain)</i>		How long do you think you will remain in the US? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question M15	<i>(trvoteiu)</i>	*	Did you cast your vote from the US, or from [country of origin]? No “refuse” responses given, “refused” category missing on CATI script.
Question N4c	<i>(flreturn)</i>		What if Cuba changed to a democratic form of government? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.
Question N4d	<i>(flimit)</i>		What do you think about the recent federal policy changes that limit travel and remittances to family members in Cuba? No “dk/na” or “refuse” responses given, both missing on CATI script.

References

- Bishop, George, Alfred Tuchfarber, and Robert W. Oldendick 1986 “ Opinions on Fictitious Issues: The Pressures to Answer Survey Questions” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 50, No. 2 (Summer) , pp. 240-250.
- _____ Robert Oldendick and Alfred Tuchfarber 1983 “Effects of Filter questions in Public Opinion Surveys” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 47 , No. 4 (Winter) , pp. 528-546.
- Bogart, Leo 1967 “No opinion, Don’t Know and Maybe No answer” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 31, pp.332-345.
- Coombs, Clyde H. and Lolagene C. Coombs 1976-1977 “Don’t Know”: Item Ambiguity or Respondent Uncertainty?” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 40 (Winter), pp. 497-514.
- Faulkenberry, G. David and Robert Mason 1978 “Characteristics of non-Opinion and No opinion Response Groups” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 42 (Winter), pp. 533-543.
- Francis, Joe and Lawrence Busch 1975 “What We Know about “I Don’t Knows” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 39, No. 2 (Summer) , pp. 207-218.
- Hughes, G. David 1969 “ Some Confounding Effects of Forced-Choice Scales” 1969 **Journal of Marketing Research** Vol. 6, No. 2 (May), pp. 223-226.
- Leigh, James and Claude Martin Jr. 1987 “Don’t Know” Item Non-response in a Telephone Survey : Effects of Question Forms and Respondent Characteristics” **Journal of Marketing Research** Vol. 24, No. 4 (November), pp. 418-424.
- Mondrak, Jeffrey and Belinda Creel Davis 2002 “Asked and Answered: Knowledge Levels when We Will Not Take ‘ Don’t Know’ for an Answer” **Political Behavior** vol. 23, No. 3 (September), pp. 199- 224.
- Poe, Gail, Isadore Seeman, Joseph McLaughlin, Eric Mehl, and Michael Dietz 1988 “ Don’t know Boxes in Factual Questions in a Mail questionnaire: effects on Level and Quality of Response” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 52, no. 2 (Summer), pp. 212-222.
- Presser, Stanley and Howard Schuman 1980 “The Measurement of a Middle Position in Attitude Surveys” **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 44, No.1 (Spring), pp. 70-85.
- Schuman, Howard and Stanley Presser 1979 “The Assessment of “No Opinion “ in Attitude Surveys “ **Sociological Methodology** Vol. 10, pp. 241-275.

_____ and Otis Dudley Duncan 1973-1974 "Questions about Attitude Survey Questions" **Sociological Methodology** vol. 5, pp. 232-251.

_____ and Stanley Presser 1980 "Public Opinion and Public Ignorance: the Fine Line between Attitudes and Non-attitudes" **American Journal of Sociology** Vol. 85, no. 5 (March) ,pp 1214-1225.

Sherriffs, Alex and Donald Boomer 1954 "Who is Penalized by the Penalty of Guessing"? **Journal of Educational Psychology** Vol. 45, pp. 81-90.

Sicinski, Andreji 1970 "Don't Know' Answers in ?Cross National surveys" **Public Opinion Quarterly** Vol. 34, pp. 126-129.

November 12, 2007

*Client: Gary Segura, Co-Principle Investigator
University of Washington*

*Latin Force Contacts: César M Melgoza, Jeff Vitus
Prepared by: Jeff Vitus*

Overview

The principle investigators conducting the **Latino National Survey** contracted Latin Force Group LLC (formerly Geoscape® International) in October 2006 to enhance the survey respondent file with respondent weights for use in statistical analysis. The original weights for 8,634 records were based on a comparative analysis of the respondents' demographics versus each geographical stratum's overall Hispanic demographics. Subsequently in October 2007, the researchers requested that respondent weights reflect not only the overall demographic composition of respondents (versus state and national Hispanic demographics from Geoscape American MarketScape DataStream 2006), but also reflect the location where the respondents reside so regional differences in opinions and attitudes may be analyzed during state and national summary analytics.

The following narrative supplements the November 7, 2006 report; the original report contains additional information on the original weighting methods and sampling methodology specific to the Latino National Survey. Appended to this report is a detailed description of the stratified random sampling techniques used to create the telephone sample (original document provided to the principle investigators in September 2005).

Original Respondent Weight Calculations (delivered November 8, 2006)

The comparative demographic analysis (respondents versus overall Hispanic demographics) for the original weights was done for each level of geography stratum (i.e., study area/metro area, state, and nation). Spatial analysis of respondents showed their distribution was very similar to the overall adult Hispanic population distribution in regards to age, gender, ancestry, educational attainment, and tenure. Within each level of geography, the respondents' residential locations were not part of the final weightings. For example, the national weight for a Hispanic female age 34 from Cuba with high school education living in California would be the same as a respondent with the same profile who lives in Florida.

Over-sampling was used whenever the target ancestry population was too small to yield a sufficiently large enough sample in the absence of ancestry and geographical quotas. The original weights did adjust for the planned over-sampling of Hispanic groups within ancestry or geographical strata, as

well as, the other control criteria of age, gender, educational attainment, and tenure. Although the original weights will produce representative summaries of the subjects' responses (within each geographical stratum), the weights were not calibrated to produce a weighted N (number of weighted respondents) equal to the unweighted N (actual number of respondents).

Revised Respondent Weight Calculations

The revised weights are based on several demographic cohorts for both the respondents and the overall Hispanic adult population: age-gender (14 cohorts), Hispanic ancestry (8 cohorts), educational attainment (6 cohorts), tenure (owner, renter), and the county of residence. Also evaluated, but not used in the weightings, was respondent household income due to a high level of missing values (21%) for the income question. Nonetheless, reported respondent income closely resembled the overall Hispanic household income distribution in American Marketplace DataStream 2006 (AMDS). Race could not be compared because the concept of race (White, Black, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Other) was confounded with ethnicity (Hispanic); nearly 51% of respondents gave Hispanic/Latino as their race.

For each of the three summary geographic strata (study area/metro area, state, and nation), the respondent's weight is the combination of a geographic factor and a demographic factor. The geographic factor uses counties as the base level of geography and corrects for the inter-county spatial distribution of respondents versus the overall Hispanic adult population within each geographic stratum. The demographic factor corrects for differences between the respondent distributions across cohorts versus the overall Hispanic adult population's demographic profile for the entire geographic stratum.

For each respondent, the geographic factor is based on the respondent's county of residence, as well as, the AMDS population and number of respondents in the cohorts that match the respondent's demographic profile. The following describes the formula used to calculate each of the four components (i.e., age-gender, ancestry, educational attainment, and tenure) in the geographic factor.

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\text{AMDS cohort population for county}}{\text{AMDS cohort population for geographic stratum}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\text{Respondents within cohort for county}}{\text{Respondents within cohort for geographic stratum}} \right)}$$

The demographic factor is based on the demographic profiles of respondents and AMDS population within each geographic stratum. The following formula (using tenure as example where respondent is a renter) is used to calculate the four components in the demographic factor.

$$\frac{\left(\frac{\text{AMDS cohort}_{(\text{renter})} \text{ population for geographic stratum}}{\sum[\text{AMDS cohort}_{(\text{owner} + \text{renter})} \text{ populations}] \text{ for geographic stratum}} \right)}{\left(\frac{\text{Respondents within cohort}_{(\text{renter})} \text{ for geographic stratum}}{\sum[\text{Respondents within cohorts}_{(\text{owner} + \text{renter})}] \text{ for geographic stratum}} \right)}$$

The geographic and demographic factors are summed and then proportionally calibrated so the sum of the revised respondent weights within each geographical stratum equals the number of respondents in the stratum (i.e., unweighted N = weighted N).

Respondent Weights Data File

The revised respondent weights are in Excel file: Revised_LNS_Respondent_Weights.XLS; this file contains tabs for the file layout and respondent weights (refer to following table for variables in the Rev_Respondent_Weights worksheet).

Rev_Respondent_Weights worksheet	
Column	Description
respid	Unique respondent ID.
custid	Unique sample ID.
SampleGeogRev	Revised study area name; four respondents were assigned to adjacent Metro Areas (respid 04928 reassigned to “Other California”, respid 03945 and 08761 reassigned to “Denver Metro”, and respid 00756 reassigned to “Yakima Valley”. The study area was unchanged for the remaining 8,630 respondents.
wt_metro_rev	Revised study area ("Metro") respondent weight for summarizing within the Metro Area sampling geographies; this includes rural and “other” sampling areas. These weights are calibrated so the unweighted sample N = weighted sample N within each of the 35 geographical strata. The Metro weight is equal to the state weight when the state contains only one sampling area (i.e., Arizona, Arkansas, Iowa, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, and North Carolina).
wt_state_rev	Revised respondent weight for summarizing within the state. These weights are calibrated so the unweighted sample N = weighted sample N within each state. This weight is not applicable for the multi-state DC Metro area.
wt_nation_rev	Revised respondent weight for summarizing within the United States. The 15 states and DC Metro area included in the sample are home to 87.5% of Hispanic adults in the U.S.; this weight allows statistical comparisons to the total U.S. Hispanic adult population. These weights are calibrated so the unweighted sample N (8,634) = weighted sample N for the multiple states survey area.

ADDENDUM: Use of Spatial Stratified Random Sampling in Latino National Survey

Latin Force Group LLC utilized spatial stratified random sampling techniques to generate the Hispanic adult telephone sample (HomeBase™¹ records) for the National Latino Survey. The sampling method is a derivative of the technique described by Lounsbury and Aldrich² and ensures the telephone sample is representative of the geographical dispersion of various Hispanic ancestries across each of the requested geographic areas.

Several strata were of interest to the researchers and these were divided into two groups: controlled (geography, Hispanic ancestry, recency of immigration, and gender) and naturally occurring (family generation, language usage, and acculturation). The naturally occurring strata were allowed to be “as it falls” and did not have quotas; the natural occurrence of these demographic characteristics within the telephone sample is expected to provide adequate respondent sample sizes for statistical analysis.

Geography and Hispanic ancestry are the primary strata being controlled; thereby, attaining adequate, yet random, representation for these two strata results in proportional representation for the recency of immigration stratum. Within each of the specified geographic areas, spatial stratification is achieved by using grids that are 0.01 degrees latitude by 0.01 degrees longitude. The grid process results in nearly uniform areas that vary from 0.33 square miles (northern parts of Washington) to 0.43 square miles in southern Florida.

Within each spatial grid, a random sample of the desired Hispanic ancestry was drawn from the population of HomeBase records; in most cases, the natural distribution of the various Hispanic ancestries produces sufficiently large sample sizes for statistical comparisons by ancestry. Additionally, the number of HomeBase records selected from each grid is proportional to the particular region’s Hispanic population that resides in the grid. This spatially proportional aspect of the sampling technique ensures all types of Hispanic neighborhoods (varying levels of acculturation and recency of arrival) are included in the sample. Some ancestries or sub-state regions were over-sampled (compared to their portion of the area’s Hispanic population) to increase the likelihood there will be enough respondents to allow statistical analysis.

The gender strata are best controlled during the data collection phase of the study by establishing gender quotas. Latin Force ensured the overall multi-state sample had similar numbers of males (48%) and females (46%), with the remainder of unknown gender. However, many households have both genders and any telephone recruitment bias of gender is controlled with quotas.

¹ HomeBase is Latin Force’s household database that includes over 95% of the Hispanic households in the United States.

² Lounsbury, J.F. and F.T. Aldrich (1979) *Introduction to Geographic Field Methods and Techniques*. Columbus: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Co. Pages 116-119. A new edition (1986) is now published by Macmillan Publishing Co., New York.